Missouri

UNIFORM APPLICATION FY 2019 BEHAVIORAL HEALTH REPORT SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT BLOCK GRANT

OMB - Approved 06/07/2017 - Expires 06/30/2020 (generated on 11/26/2018 9.56.47 AM)

Center for Substance Abuse Prevention Division of State Programs

Center for Substance Abuse Treatment Division of State and Community Assistance

I: State Information

State Information

I. State Agency for the Block Grant

Agency Name Missouri Department of Mental Health

Organizational Unit Division of Behavioral Health

Mailing Address PO Box 687

City Jefferson City

Zip Code 65102-0687

II. Contact Person for the Block Grant

First Name Mark

Last Name Stringer

Agency Name Missouri Department of Mental Health

Mailing Address PO Box 687

City Jefferson City

Zip Code 65102-0687

Telephone 573-751-9499

Fax 573-751-7814

Email Address mark.stringer@dmh.mo.gov

III. Expenditure Period

State Expenditure Period

From 7/1/2017

To 6/30/2018

Block Grant Expenditure Period

From 10/1/2015

To 9/30/2017

IV. Date Submitted

Submission Date 11/26/2018 9:55:19 AM

Revision Date

V. Contact Person Responsible for Report Submission

First Name Jason

Last Name Jones

Telephone 573-751-8061

Fax 573-751-7814

Email Address jason.jones@dmh.mo.gov

VI. Contact Person Responsible for Substance Abuse Data

First Name Renee

Last Name Rothermich

Telephone 573-522-8077

Email Address renee.rothermich@dmh.mo.gov

Footnotes:			

II: Annual Report

Table 1 Priority Area and Annual Performance Indicators - Progress Report

ority #:	1		
ority Area:	Coordination of Primary C	are and Behaviora	al Health Services
ority Type:	SAT, MHS		
pulation(s):	SMI, SED		
al of the priority	r area:		
oordinate consu	mers' primary and behavioral h	nealthcare in orde	r to improve consumer health and reduce medical costs.
ategies to attain	the goal:		
) Continue outre nd 3) are not cur	rently enrolled in behavioral h	ts who 1) have a s ealth treatment	Home participants substance use disorder or serious mental illness, 2) have high annual healthcare costs sing evaluation of Missouri's Health Home programs.
-Annual Perfo	ormance Indicators to me	asure goal succ	ess
Indicator #	:	1	
Indicator:		Number of p	participants in Health Homes per fiscal year
Baseline M	easurement:	35,755	
First-year t	arget/outcome measurement:	at least 37,0	00
Second-yea	ar target/outcome measureme	ent: at least 40,0	00
New Secon	id-year target/outcome measu	rement(if needed	D: 33,000
Data Sourc	e:		
	Medicaid agency MO Healthne		om a Per Member Per Month (PMPM) data file submitted to DMH from the siss. These are individuals who participated at any time during the specified
New Data S	Source(if needed):		
Description	ı of Data:		
New Descr	iption of Data:(if needed)		
Data issues	s/caveats that affect outcome	measures:	
New Data i	issues/caveats that affect outc	ome measures:	
Report	of Progress Toward (Goal Attainn	nent
First Year	Target:	chieved	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason wh	y target was not achieved, and	d changes propos	ed to meet target:
Health Ho	ome enrollment declined as a romes experienced difficulty ma	esult, in part, of re iintaining adequat	eaching a saturation point with eligible participants. Additionally, several te staffing levels for their enrollment which resulted in a need to pause n adequate level. Actual Health Home participants in FY 2018 is 31,616.

Proposed change is to adjust the target.

Indicator #:	2
Indicator #.	Number of participants in DM 3700 per fiscal year
Baseline Measurement:	3,636
First-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 3,550
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 3,550
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
consumer who is listed on the ADA Disease	ants are tracked in the DMH information system. A participant in ADA DM is defined as a Management master list and who has an open ADA episode of care during the specified lefined as a consumer who is listed on the DM 3700 master list and who has an open CPS ear.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target:	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	al Attainment ved
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target:
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of participants in DM 3700 in FY	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target:
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of participants in DM 3700 in FY Indicator #:	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 1: 1: 2: 2: 3: 3: 4: 5: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of participants in DM 3700 in FY Indicator:	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of participants in DM 3700 in FY Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement:	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 2018 is 5,590. Number of participants in ADA Disease Management per fiscal year
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of participants in DM 3700 in FY Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement:	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2: 3 Number of participants in ADA Disease Management per fiscal year 806 at least 750
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of participants in DM 3700 in FY Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement:	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2: 3 Number of participants in ADA Disease Management per fiscal year 806 at least 750 at least 750
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional)	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2: 3 Number of participants in ADA Disease Management per fiscal year 806 at least 750 at least 750
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of participants in DM 3700 in FY Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Numbers of ADA DM and DM 3700 participations on the ADA Disease	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 3 Number of participants in ADA Disease Management per fiscal year 806 at least 750 at least 750 at least 750 nent(if needed): ants are tracked in the DMH information system. A participant in ADA DM is defined as a Management master list and who has an open ADA episode of care during the specified lefined as a consumer who is listed on the DM 3700 master list and who has an open CPS
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of participants in DM 3700 in FY Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Numbers of ADA DM and DM 3700 participat consumer who is listed on the ADA Disease fiscal year. A participant in the DM 3700 is desired.	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 3 Number of participants in ADA Disease Management per fiscal year 806 at least 750 at least 750 at least 750 nent(if needed): ants are tracked in the DMH information system. A participant in ADA DM is defined as a Management master list and who has an open ADA episode of care during the specified lefined as a consumer who is listed on the DM 3700 master list and who has an open CPS

New Data issues/caveats tl	hat affect outcome measures:		
Report of Progress	s Toward Goal Attainm	ent	
First Year Target:	Achieved	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)	
Reason why target was no	t achieved, and changes proposed	d to meet target:	

Priority Area: Crisis Intervention

Priority Type: SAT, MHS
Population(s): SMI, SED

Goal of the priority area:

Promote safety and emotional stability, minimize further deterioration in mental state, increase access to treatment and support services, and improve individual outcomes for individuals in behavioral health crisis; better utilize limited criminal justice and healthcare resources by linking individuals needing behavioral healthcare services to those services.

Strategies to attain the goal:

- 1) Identify and address structural barriers, miscommunications, and consistent patterns that reduce access to behavioral healthcare services.
- 2) Provide behavioral health expertise to law enforcement, court personnel, and primary healthcare staff in order to more effectively respond to behavioral health crises.
- 3) Advocate for and engage individuals in crisis in behavioral health treatment and support services.
- 4) Provide immediate person-centered interventions to individuals in behavioral health crisis and facilitate timely access to services and supports.

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-Indicator #: **Indicator:** Number of referrals to the CMHLs per fiscal year **Baseline Measurement:** 8,189 First-year target/outcome measurement: at least 8,000 Second-year target/outcome measurement: at least 8,000 New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): **Data Source:** Number of law enforcement officers trained in CIT, number of CMHL contacts, the number served in the ERE project are tracked and reported by the Coalition for Behavioral Healthcare. New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

First Year Target:	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (optional)):
The number of referrals to CMHLs in FY 2018	
Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	Number served in the ERE project per fiscal year
Baseline Measurement:	1,329
First-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 1,200
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 1,200
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
Number of law enforcement officers trained reported by the Coalition for Behavioral Hea	in CIT, number of CMHL contacts, the number served in the ERE project are tracked and althcare.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
Now Possintion of Pata(if needed)	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
	sures:
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev	al Attainment Ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev	al Attainment Ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	al Attainment ved
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	al Attainment ved
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and chapter that the served in the ERE project in FY 2	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 1: 2018 is 1,837.
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional) The number served in the ERE project in FY 2	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: b: 2018 is 1,837.
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional) The number served in the ERE project in FY 2 Indicator:	al Attainment yed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: b: 2018 is 1,837. Number of new law enforcement officers trained in CIT per fiscal year
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achieve Achie	al Attainment And Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 1: 2018 is 1,837. 3 Number of new law enforcement officers trained in CIT per fiscal year 800
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and chapter that the first year target was achieved (optional) The number served in the ERE project in FY 2 Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement:	al Attainment yed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 1: 2018 is 1,837. 3 Number of new law enforcement officers trained in CIT per fiscal year 800 at least 600
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and chapter that the served in the ERE project in FY 2 Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement:	al Attainment yed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: b: 2018 is 1,837. Number of new law enforcement officers trained in CIT per fiscal year 800 at least 600 at least 600
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional) The number served in the ERE project in FY 2 Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement:	al Attainment yed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: b: 2018 is 1,837. Number of new law enforcement officers trained in CIT per fiscal year 800 at least 600 at least 600
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional) The number served in the ERE project in FY 2 Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement:	al Attainment yed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: b: 2018 is 1,837. Number of new law enforcement officers trained in CIT per fiscal year 800 at least 600 at least 600

New Description of Data:(if n	eeueu)	
Data issues/caveats that affec	ct outcome measures:	
New Data issues/caveats that	affect outcome measures:	
Report of Progress	Гoward Goal Attainr	nent
First Year Target:	Achieved	☐ Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not a	chieved, and changes propos	ed to meet target:
How first year target was ach	ieved <i>(optional)</i> :	
The number of new law enfo	rcement officers trained in CI	T in FY 2018 is 1,301.
Indicator #:	4	
Indicator:	·	ACI calls per fiscal year
Baseline Measurement:	83,985	
First-year target/outcome me	easurement: at least 82,0	00
	measurement: at least 82,0	00
	come measurement(if needed	
Data Source:		,
Number of ACI calls is tracke	ed and reported by the contra	cted agencies on a quarterly basis.
New Data Source(if needed):		
Description of Data:		
New Description of Data:(if n	eeded)	
Data issues/caveats that affec	ct outcome measures:	
New Data issues/caveats that	affect outcome measures:	
Report of Progress	Гoward Goal Attainr	nent
First Year Target:	Achieved	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
_	chieved, and changes propos	ed to meet target:
ACI numbers have decreased	I in FY 2018 due to a change i	n the way our providers report crisis calls. As of 1/1/2018, our providers begannis change better reflects the actual utilization of the ACI hotlines for crises.

Priority Area: Substance Abuse Traffic Offenders' Program (SATOP)

Priority Type: SAT

Other (Criminal/Juvenile Justice) Population(s): Goal of the priority area: Reduce DWI recidivism and initiate treatment services for those with substance use disorder Strategies to attain the goal: 1) Continue program oversight to ensure adherence to standards of care 2) Increase use of evidence-based practices Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success Indicator #: **Indicator:** Implement an interactive journal for the Weekend Intervention Program (WIP) **Baseline Measurement:** N/A First-year target/outcome measurement: In progress Second-year target/outcome measurement: Implemented New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): **Data Source:** Implementation of interactive journal in WIP program monitored by SATOP Director. New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target:

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How first year target was achieved (optional):

All WIP providers were required to begin using the interactive journal as of 12/1/2017.

Priority #: 4

Priority Area: Department of Corrections Community Supervised Offenders

Priority Type: SAT, MHS

Population(s): SMI, Other (Criminal/Juvenile Justice)

Goal of the priority area:

Improve access to clinically appropriate services

- 1) Monitor and target technical assistance to Probation and Parole Officers and treatment providers on the prioritization process for offenders needing substance use disorder treatment in order to facilitate rapid assessment and treatment initiation
- 2) Maintain Memorandum of Understandings (MOU) with the Department of Corrections for coordination of behavioral health treatment services
- 3) Continue the CMHT Community Mental Health Treatment (mental illness) and MH4 (severe mental illness) programs

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Current MOU's between DMH and DOC
Baseline Measurement:	yes
First-year target/outcome measurement:	yes
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	yes
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):
Data Source:	
MOU documentation is maintained by the D	MH contracts unit.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target:	al Attainment To Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
Report of Progress Toward Goo First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and characterist year target was achieved (optional) MOU between DMH and DOC is current.	al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target:
Report of Progress Toward Goo First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics How first year target was achieved (optional) MOU between DMH and DOC is current.	al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: :
Report of Progress Toward Goo First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: :
Report of Progress Toward God First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha How first year target was achieved (optional) MOU between DMH and DOC is current.	Al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: : 2 Implement revised high risk referral form for SUD treatment
Report of Progress Toward God First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and char How first year target was achieved (optional) MOU between DMH and DOC is current. Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement:	al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: :
Report of Progress Toward Good First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characterist year target was achieved (optional) MOU between DMH and DOC is current. Indicator:	Al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: : 2 Implement revised high risk referral form for SUD treatment N/A
Report of Progress Toward God First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characterist year target was achieved (optional) MOU between DMH and DOC is current. Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: : 2 Implement revised high risk referral form for SUD treatment N/A in process implemented
Report of Progress Toward Good First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characterist year target was achieved (optional) MOU between DMH and DOC is current. Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement:	al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: : 2 Implement revised high risk referral form for SUD treatment N/A in process implemented ient(if needed):
Report of Progress Toward Good First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characterist year target was achieved (optional) MOU between DMH and DOC is current. Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement Data Source:	al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: : 2 Implement revised high risk referral form for SUD treatment N/A in process implemented ient(if needed):
Report of Progress Toward God First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics year target was achieved (optional) MOU between DMH and DOC is current. Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Data Source: Implementation of high risk referral form more	al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: : 2 Implement revised high risk referral form for SUD treatment N/A in process implemented ient(if needed):
Report of Progress Toward Good First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characterist year target was achieved (optional) MOU between DMH and DOC is current. Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Implementation of high risk referral form monopole. New Data Source(if needed):	al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: : 2 Implement revised high risk referral form for SUD treatment N/A in process implemented ient(if needed):

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

rget was not ac	hieved, and changes proposed	to meet target:

Priority Area: Tobacco Prevention / Cessation

Priority Type: SAP, SAT, MHS

Population(s): SMI, SED, PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Students in College, Rural, Children/Youth at Risk for BH Disorder)

Goal of the priority area:

Reduce tobacco initiation and promote tobacco cessation among vulnerable populations

- 1) Support provider training in tobacco cessation with proven effectiveness
- 2) Promote the inclusion of tobacco cessation in the consumer's behavioral health treatment plan
- 3) Support tobacco cessation on Missouri's college campuses
- 4) Ensure the provision of tobacco enforcement and merchant education:
- a. Continue contracting with the Food and Drug Administration for the enforcement of federal tobacco control laws
- b. Maintain a Memorandum of Agreement with the Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Control for state and federal enforcement of tobacco control laws
- c. Conduct a merchant education visit to every tobacco retailer in the state

nual Performance Indicators to measu	re goal success
Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Annual Synar noncompliance rate is less than 20 percent
Baseline Measurement:	yes
First-year target/outcome measurement:	yes
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	yes
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):
Data Source:	
Synar rate is determined from annual Synar scompleted by October 1, 2019.	survey. For FY 2018, this will be completed by October 1, 2018. For FY 2019, this will be
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:
Papert of Progress Toward Co	al Attainment
Report of Progress Toward Go	

Synar noncompliance rate for FY 2018 is 6.3	percent.
Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	Number of tobacco retailers visited and provided with retailer educational materials per fiscal year
Baseline Measurement:	5,477
First-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 5,200
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 5,200
New Second-year target/outcome measuren Data Source:	nent(<i>if needed</i>):
Number of tobacco retailers visited and pro by DMH staff, and reported in the State's A	ovided educational materials is documented by prevention agencies, entered into a database nnual Synar Report.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	asures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	pal Attainment
Report of Progress Toward Go	pal Attainment
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achie	val Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Report of Progress Toward Go	val Attainment ved
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achie Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional)	val Attainment ved
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional). The number of tobacco retailers visited and	val Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target:
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional). The number of tobacco retailers visited and	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: provided with retailer education materials in FY 2018 is 5,272.
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achieved, and che Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional). The number of tobacco retailers visited and Indicator #: Indicator:	Pal Attainment I Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) I langes proposed to meet target: D: provided with retailer education materials in FY 2018 is 5,272.
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of tobacco retailers visited and Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: provided with retailer education materials in FY 2018 is 5,272. Number of Tobacco Treatment Specialists per fiscal year
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of tobacco retailers visited and Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: provided with retailer education materials in FY 2018 is 5,272. Number of Tobacco Treatment Specialists per fiscal year 29 at least 25
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of tobacco retailers visited and Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: provided with retailer education materials in FY 2018 is 5,272. Number of Tobacco Treatment Specialists per fiscal year 29 at least 25 at least 25
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achie Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of tobacco retailers visited and Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Data Source:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: provided with retailer education materials in FY 2018 is 5,272. Number of Tobacco Treatment Specialists per fiscal year 29 at least 25 at least 25 nent(if needed):
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of tobacco retailers visited and Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: provided with retailer education materials in FY 2018 is 5,272. Number of Tobacco Treatment Specialists per fiscal year 29 at least 25 at least 25 nent(if needed):
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of tobacco retailers visited and Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Data Source: Number of Tobacco Treatment Specialists is	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: provided with retailer education materials in FY 2018 is 5,272. Number of Tobacco Treatment Specialists per fiscal year 29 at least 25 at least 25 nent(if needed):
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of tobacco retailers visited and Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Data Source:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: provided with retailer education materials in FY 2018 is 5,272. Number of Tobacco Treatment Specialists per fiscal year 29 at least 25 at least 25 nent(if needed):

New Data issues/caveats t	hat affect outcome measures:
Report of Progres	s Toward Goal Attainment
First Year Target:	Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was no	t achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:
How first year target was a	achieved (optional):
The number of Tobacco T	reatment Specialists in FY 2018 is 30.
rity #: 6	
	upport Services
rity Type: SAT, MHS	
ulation(s): SMI, SED, C Minorities)	Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Rural, Criminal/Juvenile Justice, Homeless, Underserved Racial and Ethnic
l of the priority area:	
ovide support services to prom	ote sustained recovery from behavioral health disorders
tegies to attain the goal:	
Continue the five Drop-In Cent	ers and five Peer Support Phone Lines for persons with mental illness
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported	
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported	ninister the Shelter Plus Care grants to provide housing assistance to long-term DMH consumers Employment cators to measure goal success
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported Annual Performance Indic Indicator #:	ninister the Shelter Plus Care grants to provide housing assistance to long-term DMH consumers Employment cators to measure goal success
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported	ninister the Shelter Plus Care grants to provide housing assistance to long-term DMH consumers Employment cators to measure goal success
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported Annual Performance Indic Indicator #:	ninister the Shelter Plus Care grants to provide housing assistance to long-term DMH consumers Employment cators to measure goal success 1 Number of contracts for Consumer Operated Service Programs (e.g. Drop-In Centers and
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported Annual Performance Indic Indicator #: Indicator:	ninister the Shelter Plus Care grants to provide housing assistance to long-term DMH consumers Employment Cators to measure goal success 1 Number of contracts for Consumer Operated Service Programs (e.g. Drop-In Centers and Peer Support Warm Lines) for persons with mental illness per fiscal year 10
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported Annual Performance Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement:	Employment Cators to measure goal success 1 Number of contracts for Consumer Operated Service Programs (e.g. Drop-In Centers and Peer Support Warm Lines) for persons with mental illness per fiscal year 10 measurement: 10
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported I Annual Performance Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome Second-year target/outcol	Employment Cators to measure goal success 1 Number of contracts for Consumer Operated Service Programs (e.g. Drop-In Centers and Peer Support Warm Lines) for persons with mental illness per fiscal year 10 measurement: 10
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported Annual Performance Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome Second-year target/outcod New Second-year target/o Data Source:	ninister the Shelter Plus Care grants to provide housing assistance to long-term DMH consumers Employment cators to measure goal success 1 Number of contracts for Consumer Operated Service Programs (e.g. Drop-In Centers and Peer Support Warm Lines) for persons with mental illness per fiscal year 10 measurement: 10 me measurement: 10 putcome measurement(if needed):
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported I Annual Performance Indic Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome Second-year target/outcome New Second-year target/o Data Source: Contracts are maintained	Ininister the Shelter Plus Care grants to provide housing assistance to long-term DMH consumers Employment Cators to measure goal success 1 Number of contracts for Consumer Operated Service Programs (e.g. Drop-In Centers and Peer Support Warm Lines) for persons with mental illness per fiscal year 10 measurement: 10 me measurement: 10 putcome measurement(if needed): by the DMH Contracts Unit.
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported Annual Performance Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome Second-year target/outcod New Second-year target/o Data Source:	Ininister the Shelter Plus Care grants to provide housing assistance to long-term DMH consumers Employment Cators to measure goal success 1 Number of contracts for Consumer Operated Service Programs (e.g. Drop-In Centers and Peer Support Warm Lines) for persons with mental illness per fiscal year 10 measurement: 10 me measurement: 10 putcome measurement(if needed): by the DMH Contracts Unit.
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported I Annual Performance Indic Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome Second-year target/outcome New Second-year target/o Data Source: Contracts are maintained	Ininister the Shelter Plus Care grants to provide housing assistance to long-term DMH consumers Employment Cators to measure goal success 1 Number of contracts for Consumer Operated Service Programs (e.g. Drop-In Centers and Peer Support Warm Lines) for persons with mental illness per fiscal year 10 measurement: 10 me measurement: 10 putcome measurement(if needed): by the DMH Contracts Unit.
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported I Annual Performance Indic Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome Second-year target/outcome New Second-year target/o Data Source: Contracts are maintained New Data Source(if neede	In Number of contracts for Consumer Operated Service Programs (e.g. Drop-In Centers and Peer Support Warm Lines) for persons with mental illness per fiscal year 10 measurement: 10 me measurement: 10 putcome measurement(if needed): by the DMH Contracts Unit. d):
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported I Annual Performance Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome Second-year target/outcome New Second-year target/o Data Source: Contracts are maintained New Data Source(if neede	ninister the Shelter Plus Care grants to provide housing assistance to long-term DMH consumers Employment cators to measure goal success 1 Number of contracts for Consumer Operated Service Programs (e.g. Drop-In Centers and Peer Support Warm Lines) for persons with mental illness per fiscal year 10 measurement: 10 me measurement: 10 putcome measurement(if needed): by the DMH Contracts Unit. d):
Maintain a housing unit to adn Promote use of IPS Supported Annual Performance Indic Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome Second-year target/outcome New Second-year target/outcome Contracts are maintained New Data Source(if neede Description of Data: New Description of Data: Data issues/caveats that are	ninister the Shelter Plus Care grants to provide housing assistance to long-term DMH consumers Employment cators to measure goal success 1 Number of contracts for Consumer Operated Service Programs (e.g. Drop-In Centers and Peer Support Warm Lines) for persons with mental illness per fiscal year 10 measurement: 10 me measurement: 10 putcome measurement(if needed): by the DMH Contracts Unit. d):

dollars a replacement COSP will be competit	rams was not meeting contracting expectations and the contract was terminated. Funding equest for applications for a new contractor. With the additional Mental Health Block Grant ively bid.
How first year target was achieved (optional)	:
ndicator #:	2
Indicator:	Number of IPS SE programs per fiscal year
Baseline Measurement:	13
First-year target/outcome measurement:	13
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	14
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	
Data Source:	
The number of IPS Supported Employment p	programs is tracked by DMH staff.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go.	al Δttainment
	_
First Year Target: Achiev	red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and characters	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target:
First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics How first year target was achieved (optional)	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: :
Achievel Ach	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: :
Achievel Ach	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: :
First Year Target: Achievel A	ned Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: : 20.
First Year Target: Achieved Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of IPS SE programs in FY 2018 is Indicator #: Indicator:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 20.
Achieved: Achieved: Reason why target was not achieved, and characterist year target was achieved (optional) The number of IPS SE programs in FY 2018 is Indicator: Baseline Measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 20. 3 Number of families receiving family support per fiscal year
First Year Target: Achieved Achiev	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 20. 3 Number of families receiving family support per fiscal year 922 at least 900
First Year Target: Achieved Reason why target was not achieved, and characterist year target was achieved (optional) The number of IPS SE programs in FY 2018 is Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 20. 3 Number of families receiving family support per fiscal year 922 at least 900 at least 900
Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and characterist year target was achieved (optional). The number of IPS SE programs in FY 2018 is undicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 20. 3 Number of families receiving family support per fiscal year 922 at least 900 at least 900
Achieved. Reason why target was not achieved, and characterist year target was achieved (optional). The number of IPS SE programs in FY 2018 is indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 20. 3 Number of families receiving family support per fiscal year 922 at least 900 at least 900 seent(if needed):
Reason why target was not achieved, and chieved first year target was achieved (optional) The number of IPS SE programs in FY 2018 is Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Data Source:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 20. 3 Number of families receiving family support per fiscal year 922 at least 900 at least 900 seent(if needed):

New Description of Data:(if needed)

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target:	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (optional)):
Number of families receiving Family Support	in FY 2018 is 1,001
Indicator #:	4
Indicator:	Create Family Support Provider website to centralize information, resources, training opportunities, and networking activities.
Baseline Measurement:	N/A
First-year target/outcome measurement:	In progress
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Completed
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
Progress on website will be monitored by C	hildren's Unit.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
	sures:
New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	e measures:
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target:	al Attainment Ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment ved

Priority Area: Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT) for Substance Use Disorders

Priority Type: SAT

Population(s): PWWDC, Other (Rural, Criminal/Juvenile Justice, Underserved Racial and Ethnic Minorities)

Goal of the priority area:

To further integrate medication therapy into the substance use disorder treatment service delivery system

Strategies to attain the goal:

- 1) Monitor utilization of MAT by provider and provide technical assistance as needed
- 2) Increase utilization of different MAT medications at a given treatment provider

Indicator #:	1
ndicator:	Number of consumers receiving MAT per fiscal year
Baseline Measurement:	5,106
First-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 5,000
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 5,000
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):
Data Source:	
buprenorphine/Suboxone/Subsolv, Antabuse	assisted treatment including use of methadone, Vivitrol, naltrexone, e, Zubsolv, Bunavail, and acamprosate (and any future FDA-approved MAT medication) is DMH information system and Medicaid Claims, excluding billings occurring while in detox.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas	sures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target:	ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (optional):	:
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Priority #: 8

Priority Area: Community Advocacy and Education

Priority Type: SAP

Population(s): PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Students in College, Rural, Children/Youth at Risk for BH Disorder, Underserved Racial

and Ethnic Minorities)

Goal of the priority area:

Create positive community norms; policy change; promote mental wellness; and reduce alcohol, tobacco, and other drug availability in Missouri's communities

- 1) Build state and community capacity by fostering strong partnerships and identifying new opportunities for collaboration
- 2) Further data capacity in support of data-driven strategic planning to include the continuation of the Missouri Study Survey and the Behavioral Health web tool
- 3) Fund evidence-based programming to prevent substance use and bullying among high-risk youth
- 4) Continue the education initiative in Eastern Missouri to address heroin and other opiate drug use

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Number of heroin and other opiate drug use trainings and education activities per fiscal year
Baseline Measurement:	101
First-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 80
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 80
New Second-year target/outcome measuren	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
Number of heroin education activities is tra	cked and reported by the Eastern Regional Support Center.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	isures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
	_
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional)	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) langes proposed to meet target:
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional). The number of heroin and other opiate drug	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) langes proposed to meet target: D: g use trainings and education activities in FY 2018 is 99.
First Year Target: Achieved Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional), The number of heroin and other opiate drug Indicator #:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) langes proposed to meet target:
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional). The number of heroin and other opiate drug. Indicator:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 3: 4: 5: 7: 8: 9: 9: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2: 2
First Year Target: Achieved Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional). The number of heroin and other opiate drug Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) langes proposed to meet target: g use trainings and education activities in FY 2018 is 99. 2 Number of high-risk youth served in prevention programs per fiscal year
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and cheed was not achieved, and cheed was achieved (optional). The number of heroin and other opiate drug. Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) langes proposed to meet target: g use trainings and education activities in FY 2018 is 99. 2 Number of high-risk youth served in prevention programs per fiscal year 6,306 at least 6,000
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and cheed was not achieved, and cheed was achieved (optional). The number of heroin and other opiate drug. Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) langes proposed to meet target: g use trainings and education activities in FY 2018 is 99. 2 Number of high-risk youth served in prevention programs per fiscal year 6,306 at least 6,000 at least 6,000
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and cheed was not achieved, and cheed was achieved (optional). The number of heroin and other opiate drug. Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Data Source:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: g use trainings and education activities in FY 2018 is 99. 2 Number of high-risk youth served in prevention programs per fiscal year 6,306 at least 6,000 at least 6,000 nent(if needed):
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and cheed was not achieved, and cheed was achieved (optional). The number of heroin and other opiate drug. Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Data Source: Numbers of high-risk youth served in prevention of the	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) langes proposed to meet target: g use trainings and education activities in FY 2018 is 99. 2 Number of high-risk youth served in prevention programs per fiscal year 6,306 at least 6,000 at least 6,000
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and chelled How first year target was achieved (optional). The number of heroin and other opiate drug. Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: g use trainings and education activities in FY 2018 is 99. 2 Number of high-risk youth served in prevention programs per fiscal year 6,306 at least 6,000 at least 6,000 nent(if needed):
Reason why target was not achieved, and chelled How first year target was achieved (optional). The number of heroin and other opiate drug. Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Data Source:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: g use trainings and education activities in FY 2018 is 99. 2 Number of high-risk youth served in prevention programs per fiscal year 6,306 at least 6,000 at least 6,000 nent(if needed):
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and chellow first year target was achieved (optional). The number of heroin and other opiate drug. Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Data Source: Numbers of high-risk youth served in prevenue of the	Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) anges proposed to meet target: g use trainings and education activities in FY 2018 is 99. 2 Number of high-risk youth served in prevention programs per fiscal year 6,306 at least 6,000 at least 6,000 ment(if needed): Intion programs are tracked and reported by contracted providers.

Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (optional)	t:
The number of high-risk youth served in pre	vention programs in FY 2018 is 12,506.
Indicator #:	3
Indicator:	Number of persons trained in MHFA per fiscal year
Baseline Measurement:	6,043
First-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 5,500
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 5,500
New Second-year target/outcome measurem Data Source:	nent(if needed):
The number trained in MHFA is tracked DBH	prevention staff.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target: Achiev	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet target:
	:
How first year target was achieved (optional)	
How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of persons trained in MHFA in F	Y 2018 is 7,200.

Pric

Pric

Priority Type: SAP

PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Children/Youth at Risk for BH Disorder) Population(s):

Goal of the priority area:

To delay onset of substance use, reduce use, improve overall school performance, and reduce incidents of violence

- 1) Enhance protective factors and reverse or reduce risk factors for substance use and violence
- 2) Improve academic and social-emotional learning to address risk factors
- 3) Employ interactive techniques that allow for active involvement in learning
- 4) Reinforce prevention skills over time with repeated interventions
- 5) Ensure programming is culturally competent and age appropriate

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Number students participating in SPIRIT per fiscal year
Baseline Measurement:	8,031
First-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 7,800
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 7,800
New Second-year target/outcome measuren Data Source:	nent(if needed):
SPIRIT participation is tracked and reported	by the program evaluator MIMH.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	isures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
First Year Target: Achie	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) langes proposed to meet target:
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) langes proposed to meet target:
First Year Target: Achieved Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional). The number of students participating in SPIR	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: D: RIT in FY 2018 is 9,354.
First Year Target: Achieved Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional), The number of students participating in SPIF Andicator #:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: D: RIT in FY 2018 is 9,354.
First Year Target: Achieved Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional). The number of students participating in SPIF Indicator #: Indicator:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: D: RIT in FY 2018 is 9,354.
First Year Target: Achieved Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional). The number of students participating in SPIF Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) langes proposed to meet target: D: RIT in FY 2018 is 9,354. 2 Annual report generated yes yes
First Year Target: Achieved Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional). The number of students participating in SPIF Andicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) langes proposed to meet target: Comparison of the proposed to meet target in FY 2018 is 9,354. Comparison of the proposed to meet target in FY 2018 is 9,354. Comparison of the proposed to meet target in FY 2018 is 9,354. Comparison of the proposed to meet target in FY 2018 is 9,354.
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and chelow first year target was achieved (optional). The number of students participating in SPIF (indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) langes proposed to meet target: Comparison of the proposed to meet target in FY 2018 is 9,354. Comparison of the proposed to meet target in FY 2018 is 9,354. Comparison of the proposed to meet target in FY 2018 is 9,354. Comparison of the proposed to meet target in FY 2018 is 9,354.
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and chelow first year target was achieved (optional). The number of students participating in SPIF (indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Annual report generated yes yes yes yes ment(if needed):
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and chelow first year target was achieved (optional). The number of students participating in SPIF (Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Data Source:	Annual report generated yes yes yes yes ment(if needed):
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and chelow first year target was achieved (optional). The number of students participating in SPIF (indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: MiMH generates the annual report which is	Annual report generated yes yes yes yes ment(if needed):
First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and chelow first year target was achieved (optional). The number of students participating in SPIF (indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: MIMH generates the annual report which is New Data Source(if needed):	Annual report generated yes yes yes yes ment(if needed):

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

	Report of Pr	ogress Toward Go	al Attainment		
	First Year Targe	t: Achiev	ved	□ Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)	
	Reason why targe	t was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet to	arget:	
	How first year tar	get was achieved (optional)):		
	Annual SPIRIT Re	port was generated.			
Priority	#: 10				
Priority	Area: Pre	escription Drug Overdose D	Peaths		
Priority	Type: SA	Р			
Populat	ion(s):				
Goal of	the priority area:				
Reduce	e overdose deaths				
Strategi	es to attain the go	pal:			
				le groups trained to carry and administer naloxone;	
2) Incre	ease public awarer	ness of opioid risks and bes	t practices for assisting du	ring an overdose event	
— Anr	nual Performan	ce Indicators to measu	re goal success		
	Indicator #:		1		
	Indicator:		Number of individuals tr	ained to carry and administer naloxone per fiscal year	
	Baseline Measure	ment:	N/A		
	First-year target/c	outcome measurement:	400		
	Second-year targe	et/outcome measurement:	700		
	New Second-year	target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):		
	Data Source:				
	The number of ir	ndividuals trained and the n	number of naloxone doses	distributed will be tracked by MIMH.	
	New Data Source	(if needed):			
	Description of Dat	ta:			
	New Description o	of Data:(if needed)			
	Data issues/cavea	ts that affect outcome mea	sures:		
	New Data issues/o	caveats that affect outcome	e measures:		
	Report of Pr	ogress Toward Go	al Attainment		
	First Year Targe	t: Achiev	ved	□ Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)	
	Reason why targe	t was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet to	arget:	
	How first year tar	get was achieved (optional)):		
		dividuals trained to carry ar		FY 2018 is 6,564.	
1					

	Indicator #:		2
	Indicator:		Number of doses of naloxone distributed per fiscal year
	Baseline Meas	urement:	N/A
	First-year targ	et/outcome measurement:	4,000
	Second-year to	arget/outcome measurement:	6,000
	New Second-y Data Source:	ear target/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):
	The number of	of individuals trained and the n	umber of naloxone doses distributed will be tracked by MIMH.
	New Data Sou	rce(if needed):	
	Description of	Data:	
	New Description	on of Data:(if needed)	
	Data issues/ca	veats that affect outcome meas	ures:
	New Data issu	es/caveats that affect outcome	measures:
	First Year Tai		_
	How first year	target was achieved (optional):	
		of doses of naloxone distributed	
riority	#:	11	
riority	Area:	Evidence-based Mental Health	Practices
riority	Туре:	MHS	
opulat	tion(s):	SMI, SED	
oal of	the priority are	ea:	
Contin	ue evidence-ba	ased practice to the same stand	ards and fidelity as shown to be effective in research
trategi	ies to attain the	e goal:	
		for EBP programs. monitoring of fidelity in EBP pro	grams.

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #: 1

Indicator: Number served in ITCOD per fiscal year

Baseline Measurement: 2,109

First-year target/outcome measurement: at least 1,800

Second-year target/outcome measurement: at least 1,800

New Second-year target/outcome measurement (if needed):

Data Source:

Numbers served in ACT and ITCOD are captu	red in the DMH information system.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas	sures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target:	ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (optional):	:
The number served in ITCD in FY 2018 is 3,200	1.
Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	Number served in ACT per fiscal year
Baseline Measurement:	728
First-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 650
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 650
New Second-year target/outcome measurem Data Source:	ent(if needed):
Numbers served in ACT and ITCOD are captu	red in the DMH information system.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas	sures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target:	_
Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (optional):	
	/ 2018 is 1,123

Priority Area: Persons who inject drugs intravenously

Priority Type: SAT

Population(s):

Goal of the priority area:

Ensure the provision of services to IV drug users in accordance with Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant statutory requirements

- 1) Monitor contractual requirements pertaining to IV drug users
- 2) Continue collecting wait list and capacity management data from contracted providers
- 3) Generate reports for wait list data and interim services billings in support of monitoring efforts
- 4) Increase one-on-one discussions with key provider staff about data reports and target technical assistance as needed

Indicator #:	1	
Number of IV drug users served in substance use disorder treatment per fiscal year (assuming the same level of funding)		
Baseline Measurement:	10348	
First-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 9,800	
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	at least 9,800	
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):	
Data Source:		
substance use disorder treatment program v	ared in the DMH information system. These are individuals for whom a paid claim on a was submitted to and paid by DMH. Injection drug use is determined from the TEDS data m. The route of substance was IV injection or non-IV injection on the primary, secondary, or	
New Data Source(if needed):		
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	isures:	
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:	
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment	
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev	al Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)	
First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	al Attainment ved	
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional)	al Attainment ved	
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional)	al Attainment ved	
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The number of IV drug users served in subst	al Attainment ved	
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional)	al Attainment ved	

, ,	utcome measurement(if needed)	:
Data Source:		
DBH Research staff moni deadlines.	or wait list and capacity manager	ment reporting and follow-up with providers if they do not meet submission
New Data Source(if neede	d):	
Description of Data:		
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that a	ffect outcome measures:	
New Data issues/caveats t	hat affect outcome measures:	
Report of Progres	s Toward Goal Attainm	ent
First Year Target:	Achieved	☐ Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was no	t achieved, and changes propose	d to meet target:
	achieved (antional):	
How first year target was	icinevea (optional).	

Priority Area: Substance-Abusing Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children

Priority Type: SAT

Population(s): PWWDC

Goal of the priority area:

Continue to provide services to pregnant women and women with dependent children

Strategies to attain the goal:

- 1) Monitor contractual compliance with regard to admission of pregnant women to substance use disorder treatment
- 2) Continue collecting wait list and capacity management data from contracted providers
- 3) Engage TANF referred individuals in substance use disorder treatment at a clinically appropriate level of care

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #: 1

Indicator: Number of pregnant women and women with dependent children served in substance use

disorder treatment per fiscal year (assuming the same level of funding)

Baseline Measurement: 6,267

First-year target/outcome measurement: at least 5,900

Second-year target/outcome measurement: at least 5,900

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Data Source:

The number of pregnant women and women with dependent children served is captured in the DMH information system. These are individuals for which a paid claim was submitted to and paid by DMH. Pregnancy status and number of dependent children are also captured.

Description of Data:		
New Description of Data:(i	if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that af	fect outcome measures:	
New Data issues/caveats tl	hat affect outcome measures:	
Report of Progress	s Toward Goal Attainme	ent
First Year Target:	Achieved	Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
Reason why target was no	t achieved, and changes proposed	I to meet target:
	chieved (optional):	

Priority Area: Mental Health Services for Transition-Aged Youth and Young Adults

Priority Type: MHS

Population(s): SMI, SED

Goal of the priority area:

Promote collaboration, implementation of effective interventions and supports, and enhanced skills of individuals who work with transition age youth/young adults and their families with behavioral health needs who may also be at risk of First Episode Psychosis.

- 1) Develop an inter-departmental "State Team" that focuses on the needs of youth/young adults with behavioral health issues including being at risk of or experiencing First Episode Psychosis.
- $2) \ Provide \ education \ on \ the \ importance \ of \ advocacy, \ prevention, \ and \ evidence-based \ treatment.$
- 3) Provide training on individualized care planning.
- 4) Expand Integrated Treatment for Co-Occurring Disorders (ITCOD) services to meet the unique needs of the transitional age population.

nual Performance Indicators to measure goal success—		
Indicator #:	1	
Indicator:	Number of education sessions per fiscal year	
Baseline Measurement:	N/A	
First-year target/outcome measurement:	2	
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	2	
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):	
Data Source:		
The DBH Children's Team will track educatio	n sessions and trainings.	
New Data Source(if needed):		
Description of Data:		
New Description of Data:(if needed)		

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcom	ne measures:				
Report of Progress Toward Go					
First Year Target:	eved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)				
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	hanges proposed to meet target:				
How first year target was achieved (optional	():				
The number of education sessions in FY 201	18 is 13.				
Indicator #:	2				
Indicator:	Number of provider trainings per fiscal year				
Baseline Measurement:	N/A				
First-year target/outcome measurement:	2				
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	2				
New Second-year target/outcome measurer	ment(if needed):				
Data Source:					
The DBH Children's Team will track educati	on sessions and trainings.				
New Data Source(if needed):					
Description of Data:					
New Description of Data:(if needed)					
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me	asures:				
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcom	e measures:				
Report of Progress Toward Go	nal Attainment				
riist fear rarget.					
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	hanges proposed to meet target:				
How first year target was achieved (optional	D:				
The number of provider trainings in FY 2018	8 is 6.				
Indicator #:	3				
Indicator:	Number served in ITCOD-TAY program per fiscal year				
Baseline Measurement:	N/A				
	15				
First-year target/outcome measurement:					
	15				
First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurer					

New Data So	ource(if needed):		7
Description	of Data:		1
New Descrip	otion of Data:(if needed)		
Data issues/	caveats that affect outcome mea	isures:	
New Data is:	sues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:]
Report o	of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment	_
First Year T	Target: Achie	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)	
Reason why	target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet target:	7
How first ye	ar target was achieved (optional)):	
	r consumers served in of ITCD-TA		
riority #:	15		
riority Area:	Behavioral Healthcare Service	s for Children	
riority Type:	MHS		
opulation(s):	SED		
oal of the priority a	area:		
		r increasing the knowledge of effective services, supports and interventions, enhancing the sk the needs of the children, youth and families served.	kills of
trategies to attain t	the goal:		
	Adolescent CSTAR Committee to nation of research, best practices	advance policy, training, and service delivery for adolescent substance use disorders.	
—Annual Perfor	rmance Indicators to measu	re goal success	
Indicator #:		1	
Indicator:		Number of meetings of the Adolescent CSTAR Committee per fiscal year	
Baseline Me	asurement:	4	
First-year ta	rget/outcome measurement:	at least 4	
Second-year	r target/outcome measurement:	at least 4	
New Second	l-year target/outcome measuren	nent(if needed):	
Data Source	•		

New Data Source(if needed):

New Description of Data:(if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Description of Data:

The Division of Behavioral Health's Children's Team will track number of trainings and social media posts.

Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target:	red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (optional)	:
The number of meetings of the Adolescent C	STAR Committee in FY 2018 is 6.
Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	Number of posts of articles, research, and stories specific to behavioral healthcare for children per fiscal year
Baseline Measurement:	N/A
First-year target/outcome measurement:	10
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	10
New Second-year target/outcome measurem Data Source:	ent(if needed):
The Division of Behavioral Health's Children	's Team will track number of trainings and social media posts.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target: Achiev	red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
	anges proposed to meet target:
Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	
Reason why target was not achieved, and chi How first year target was achieved (optional)	

Footnotes:			

Table 2 - State Agency Expenditure Report

This table provides a report of SABG and State expenditures by the State Substance Abuse Authority during the State fiscal year immediately preceding the federal fiscal year for which the state is applying for funds for authorized activities to prevent and treat substance abuse. For detailed instructions, refer to those in the Block Grant Application System (BGAS). **Include ONLY funds expended by the executive branch agency administering the SABG.**

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Activity (See instructions for using Row 1.)	A. SA Block Grant	B. MH Block Grant	C. Medicaid (Federal, State, and Local)	D. Other Federal Funds (e.g., ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare) SAMHSA, etc.)	E. State Funds	F. Local Funds (excluding local Medicaid)	G. Other
Substance Abuse Prevention* and Treatment	\$22,518,268		\$67,028,282	\$12,946,701	\$37,636,954	\$0	\$0
a. Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children*	\$3,725,774		\$1,898,912		\$4,193,415		
b. All Other	\$18,792,494		\$65,129,370	\$12,946,701	\$33,443,539		
2. Substance Abuse Primary Prevention	\$5,854,107			\$5,708,388	\$1,115,553		
3. Tuberculosis Services	\$21						
4. HIV Early Intervention Services**							
5. State Hospital							
6. Other 24 Hour Care							
7. Ambulatory/Community Non- 24 Hour Care							
8. Mental Health Primary Prevention							
9. Evidenced Based Practices for First Episode Psychosis (10% of the state's total MHBG award)							
10. Administration (Excluding Program and Provider Level)	\$1,153,653			\$899,141	\$1,123,847		
11. Total	\$29,526,049	\$0	\$67,028,282	\$19,554,230	\$39,876,354	\$0	\$0

^{*}Prevention other than primary prevention

Please indicate the expenditures are actual or estimated.

ActualEstimated

^{**}Only designated states as defined in 42 U.S.C. § 300x-24(b)(2) and 45 CFR § 96.128(b) for the applicable federal fiscal year should enter information in this row. This may include a state or states that were previously considered "designated states" during any of the thre prior federal fiscal years for which a state was applying for a grant. See EIs/HIV policy change in SABG Annual Report instructions.

Footnotes:

Total state expenditures equal \$39,876,354 plus the state portion of the Medicaid Match \$20,568,997 for a total state expenditures of \$60,445,351.

Table 4 - State Agency SABG Expenditure Compliance Report

This table provides a description of SABG expenditures for authorized activities to prevent and treat SUDs. For detailed instructions, refer to those in BGAS. Only one column is to be filled in each year.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2015 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2017

Category	FY 2016 SAPT Block Grant Award
Substance Abuse Prevention* and Treatment	\$19,418,588
2. Primary Prevention	\$5,996,009
3. Tuberculosis Services	\$0
4. HIV Early Invervention Services**	\$0
5. Administration (excluding program/provider level)	\$1,133,878
Total	\$26,548,475

^{*}Prevention other than Primary Prevention

Footnotes:

Amount of primary prevention funds planned for primary prevention programs (this amount should match the total reported in Table 5a and Table 5b) \$4,875,082.

Amount of primary prevention funds in Table 4, Line 2 that are planned for Prevention-SA resource development (this amount should not include funds reported in Table 5a or Table 5b) \$1,120,927.

^{**}Only designated states as defined in 42 U.S.C. § 300x-24(b)(2) and 45 CFR § 96.128(b) for the applicable federal fiscal year should enter information in this row. This may include a state or states that were previously considered "designated states" during any of the three prior federal fiscal years for which a state was applying for a grant. See EIs/HIV policy change in SABG Annual Report instructions

Table 5a - Primary Prevention Expenditures Checklist

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2015 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2017

Strategy	IOM Target	SAPT Block Grant	Other Federal	State	Local	Other
Information Dissemination	Selective	\$84,824	\$ 74,209	\$ 92,063	\$	\$
Information Dissemination	Indicated	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Information Dissemination	Universal	\$ 996,848	\$ 1,633,315	\$ 295,435	\$	\$
Information Dissemination	Unspecified	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Information Dissemination	Total	\$1,081,672	\$1,707,524	\$387,498	\$	\$
Education	Selective	\$1,029,108	\$	\$ 24,283	\$	\$
Education	Indicated	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Education	Universal	\$ 713,775	\$	\$ 598,545	\$	\$
Education	Unspecified	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Education	Total	\$1,742,883	\$	\$622,828	\$	\$
Alternatives	Selective	\$291,534	\$	\$ 6,433	\$	\$
Alternatives	Indicated	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Alternatives	Universal	\$ 10,695	\$	\$ 28,682	\$	\$
Alternatives	Unspecified	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Alternatives	Total	\$302,229	\$	\$35,115	\$	\$
Problem Identification and Referral	Selective	\$159	\$	\$ 1,084	\$	\$
Problem Identification and Referral	Indicated	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Problem Identification and Referral	Universal	\$ 131	\$	\$ 2,172	\$	\$
Problem Identification and Referral	Unspecified	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Problem Identification and Referral	Total	\$290	\$	\$3,256	\$	\$
Community-Based Process	Selective	\$ 266,513	\$	\$ 320,975	\$	\$

	Grand Total	\$4,875,082	\$2,724,513	\$4,511,731	\$	\$
Other	Total	\$173,217	\$1,016,989	\$304,212	\$	\$
Other	Unspecified	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Other	Universal	\$ 77,710	\$ 1,016,989	\$ 189,983	\$	\$
Other	Indicated	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Other	Selective	\$ 95,507	\$	\$ 114,229	\$	\$
Section 1926 Tobacco	Total	\$29,146	\$	\$1,136,339	\$	\$
Section 1926 Tobacco	Unspecified	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Section 1926 Tobacco	Universal	\$29,146	\$	\$913,562	\$	\$
Section 1926 Tobacco	Indicated	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Section 1926 Tobacco	Selective	\$	\$	\$222,777	\$	\$
Environmental	Total	\$28,004	\$	\$59,211	\$	\$
Environmental	Unspecified	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Environmental	Universal	\$ 16,386	\$	\$ 54,804	\$	\$
Environmental	Indicated	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Environmental	Selective	\$ 11,618	\$	\$ 4,407	\$	\$
Community-Based Process	Total	\$1,517,641	\$	\$1,963,272	\$	\$
Community-Based Process	Unspecified	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Community-Based Process	Universal	\$ 1,251,128	\$	\$ 1,642,297	\$	\$
Process	Indicated	\$ <u> </u>	\$	\$ <u> </u>	\$	\$ <u> </u>

Table 5b - Primary Prevention Expenditures by IOM Category

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2015 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2017

Activity	SAPT Block Grant	Other Federal Funds	State Funds	Local Funds	Other
Universal Direct	\$2,029,396	\$1,093,047	\$3,170,783		
Universal Indirect	\$1,066,424	\$1,557,257	\$554,698		
Selective	\$1,779,262	\$74,209	\$786,250		
Indicated					
Column Total	\$4,875,082	\$2,724,513	\$4,511,731	\$0	\$0

Footnotes:			

Table 5c - SABG Primary Prevention Priorities and Special Population Categories

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2015 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2017 **Targeted Substances** ~ Alcohol ~ Tobacco ~ Marijuana **Prescription Drugs** ~ Cocaine ~ Heroin Inhalants ~ Methamphetamine Synthetic Drugs (i.e. Bath salts, Spice, K2) **Targeted Populations** Students in College ~ Military Families LGBTQ American Indians/Alaska Natives **V** African American Hispanic Homeless Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islanders Asian Rural ~ **Underserved Racial and Ethnic Minorities** ~

Footnotes:		

Table 6 - Resource Development Expenditure Checklist

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2015 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2017

		Resource Development E	xpenditures Checklist			
Activity	A. Prevention-MH	B. Prevention-SA	C. Treatment-MH	D. Treatment-SA	E. Combined	F. Total
1. Planning, Coordination and Needs Assessment		\$447,088.00				\$447,088.00
2. Quality Assurance						\$0.00
3. Training (Post-Employment)				\$5,700.00		\$5,700.00
4. Program Development		\$552,570.00		\$11,110.00		\$563,680.00
5. Research and Evaluation		\$121,269.00				\$121,269.00
6. Information Systems						\$0.00
7. Education (Pre-Employment)						\$0.00
8. Total	\$0.00	\$1,120,927.00	\$0.00	\$16,810.00	\$0.00	\$1,137,737.00

Footnotes:				

Table 7 - Statewide Entity Inventory

This table provides a report of the sub-recipients of SABG funds including community- and faith-based organizations which provided SUD prevention activities and treatment services, as well as intermediaries/administrative service organizations. Table 7 excludes resource development expenditures.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2015 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2017

											Source of SAPT Blo			
Entity Number	I-BHS ID	①	Area Served (Statewide or SubState Planning Area)	Provider / Program Name	Street Address	City	State	Zip	A. All SA Block Grant Funds	B. Prevention (other than primary prevention) and Treatment Services	C. Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children	D. Primary Prevention	E. Early Intervention Services for HIV	F. Syrin Servi Progr
2019с	MO102027	1	Eastern	Advanced Treatment and Recovery	1580 Denmark Road	Union	мо	63084	\$747	\$747	\$0	\$0	\$0	
279b	MO100246	1	Southwest	Alternatives Inc	5337 South Campbell Suite F	Springfield	МО	65810 -2494	\$670	\$670	\$0	\$0	\$0	
315	MO100687	1	Eastern	Assessment and Counseling Solutions	11648 Gravois Road Suite 245	Saint Louis	МО	63126	\$17,928	\$17,928	\$0	\$0	\$0	
315e	MO101781	1	Eastern	Assessment and Counseling Solutions	11648 Gravois Road Suite 245	Saint Louis	МО	63126	\$4,325	\$4,325	\$0	\$0	\$0	
1674a	MO100626	1	Eastern	Assisted Recovery Centers of America	6651 Chippewa Street Suite 224	Saint Louis	МО	63109	\$1,429,610	\$1,429,610	\$0	\$0	\$0	
1641	Х	×	Eastern	Big Brothers Big Sisters of Eastern Missouri	501 North Grand Blvd.	St. Louis	МО	63103	\$52,967	\$0	\$0	\$52,967	\$0	
257	х	×	Eastern	BJC Behavioral Health	1430 Olive Street	Saint Louis	МО	63103 -1006	\$28,333	\$28,333	\$0	\$0	\$0	
173	MO903788	1	Eastern	Black Alc/Drug Service Information Ctr	3026 Locust Street	Saint Louis	МО	63103 -1329	\$24	\$24	\$0	\$0	\$0	
173b	MO101735	1	Eastern	Black Alc/Drug Service Information Ctr	3026 Locust Street	Saint Louis	МО	63103	\$190,404	\$190,404	\$0	\$0	\$0	
173a	MO101558	1	Eastern	Black Alc/Drug Service Information Ctr	3026 Locust Street	Saint Louis	МО	63101	\$99,047	\$99,047	\$99,047	\$0	\$0	
043q	MO100079	1	Southwest	Burrell Behavioral Health	360 Rinehart Road	Branson	МО	65616	\$137	\$137	\$0	\$0	\$0	
043o	MO101452	×	Southwest	Burrell Behavioral Health	2530 South Campbell Street	Springfield	МО	65807	\$1,244	\$1,244	\$0	\$0	\$0	
043a	MO902004	1	Southwest	Burrell Behavioral Health	1300 Bradford Parkway	Springfield	мо	65804	\$190,706	\$28,333	\$0	\$162,373	\$0	
043b	MO101030	1	Southwest	Burrell Behavioral Health	155 Corporate Place	Branson	мо	65616	\$83,390	\$83,390	\$0	\$0	\$0	
043d	MO101556	1	Southwest	Burrell Behavioral Health	1949 East Cherry Street	Springfield	МО	65802	\$3,952	\$3,952	\$0	\$0	\$0	
043g	MO101495	1	Southwest	Burrell Behavioral Health	323 East Grand Street	Springfield	мо	65807	\$1,542	\$1,542	\$0	\$0	\$0	
043i	MO101804	1	Southwest	Burrell Behavioral Health	1931 East Cherry Street	Springfield	МО	65802	\$23,174	\$23,174	\$0	\$0	\$0	
043n	MO750593	1	Southwest	Burrell Behavioral Health	800 South Park Avenue	Springfield	мо	65802	\$253,910	\$253,910	\$0	\$0	\$0	
043p	MO100208	1	Southwest	Burrell Behavioral Health Care Center	1322 South Campbell Avenue	Springfield	МО	65807 -7887	\$245,407	\$245,407	\$0	\$0	\$0	
318a	MO101293	1	Eastern	Center for Life Solutions	9144 Pershall Road	Hazelwood	МО	63042 -2821	\$643,241	\$643,241	\$0	\$0	\$0	
008	х	×	Statewide	Central Office	1706 E. Elm Street	Jefferson City	мо		\$125,663	\$1,100	\$0	\$124,563	\$0 Page	

	217c	MO101689	1	Northwest	Central States Mental HIth Consultants	1132 Luttrell Road Suite F	Blue Springs	МО	64015 -4900	\$4,661	\$4,661	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	048h	MO100249	1	Southwest	Clark Community Mental Health Center	1701 North Central Avenue	Monett	МО	65708	\$9,563	\$9,563	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	048a	MO101028	1	Southwest	Clark Community Mental Health Center	P.O. Box 100	Pierce City	МО	65723 -2100	\$849	\$849	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	048e	MO101631	1	Southwest	Clark Community Mental Health Center	P.O. Box 100	Pierce City	МО	65723	\$848	\$848	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	074e	MO100011	1	Southwest	Comm Mental Health Consultants Inc	815 South Ash Street	Nevada	МО	64772 -3222	\$4,979	\$4,979	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	074c	MO100930	1	Southwest	Comm Mental Health Consultants Inc	815 South Ash Street	Nevada	МО	64772	\$58,071	\$58,071	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	074a	MO103330	1	Northwest	Comm Mental Health Consultants Inc	306 South Independence Street	Harrisonville	МО	64701	\$908	\$908	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	1642	х	×	Southwest	Community Partnership of the Ozarks	330 North Jefferson Avenue	Springfield	МО	65806	\$443,506	\$0	\$0	\$443,506	\$0	
	249e	MO105459	1	Eastern	Community Services of Missouri	10904 Highway 21	Hillsboro	МО	63050 -5922	\$776	\$776	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	249y	MO100736	1	Eastern	Community Services of Missouri Inc	9019 Veterans Memorial Parkway	O Fallon	МО	63366	\$419	\$419	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	2491	MO105418	1	Eastern	Community Services of MO	11736 Manchester Road	Des Peres	МО	63131 -4614	\$1,794	\$1,794	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	249m	MO102035	1	Eastern	Community Services of MO	1175 Cave Springs Estate Drive	Saint Peters	МО	63376	\$14,036	\$14,036	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	249i	MO100737	1	Eastern	Community Services of MO	3488 Jeffco Boulevard Suite 103	Arnold	МО	63010	\$3,294	\$3,294	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	249k	MO101347	1	Eastern	Community Services of MO	7231 North Lindbergh Boulevard	Hazelwood	МО	63042	\$4,713	\$4,713	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	249с	MO105426	1	Eastern	Community Services of MO	8980 Watson Road	Saint Louis	МО	63119 -5116	\$12,783	\$12,783	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	082e	MO101485	1	Eastern	Community Treatment Inc	227 East Main Street	Festus	мо	63028	\$41,824	\$41,824	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	082m	MO100083	1	Eastern	Community Treatment Inc	227 Main Street	Festus	МО	63028 -1952	\$124	\$124	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049a	MO106614	1	Central	Compass Health	941South Cherokee Drive Suite 2-B	Marshall	МО	65340 -3646	\$14,426	\$14,426	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049e	MO101509	1	Central	Compass Health Inc	1800 Community Drive	Clinton	МО	64735 -8804	\$1,805	\$1,805	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049au	MO100776	1	Central	Compass Health Inc	117 North Garth Avenue	Columbia	МО	65203 -4103	\$4,607	\$4,607	\$4,607	\$0	\$0	
	049al	MO100179	×	Central	Compass Health Inc	1091 Midway Drive	Linn Creek	мо	65052	\$115,044	\$115,044	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049ap	MO100187	1	Central	Compass Health Inc	227 Metro Drive	Jefferson City	мо	65109 -1134	\$39,346	\$39,346	\$10,740	\$0	\$0	
	049t	MO100321	1	Central	Compass Health Inc	P.O. Box 1560	Camdenton	МО	65020	\$1,399	\$1,399	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049av	MO100483	1	Central	Compass Health Inc	2625 Fairway Drive Suite E	Fulton	МО	65251	\$12,027	\$12,027	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049k	MO103207	1	Central	Compass Health Inc	1800 Community Drive	Clinton	МО	64735 -8804	\$51,055	\$51,055	\$9,278	\$0	\$0	
	049bc	MO100927	1	Central	Compass Health Inc	106 East Main Street	Linn	МО	65051	\$92	\$92	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	0491	MO105814	1	Central	Compass Health Inc	1216 Deadra Drive	Lebanon	МО	65536	\$13,748	\$13,748	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049f	MO106267	1	Central	Compass Health Inc	403 Dysart Street	Columbia	МО	65201	\$57,959	\$57,959	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Prints	049v	MO106283	1 -	Central	Compass Health Inc 930-0168 Appl	206 South Mill Street	Eldon	MO	65026 -1864	\$5,002	\$5,002	\$0	\$0	\$0 Page	38 of 100

i	1 1			ı	1	ı	1	i		İ	ı	ı	i	ı	
	049an	MO750056	1	Central	Compass Health Inc	117 North Garth Avenue	Columbia	МО	65203	\$27,737	\$27,737	\$405	\$0	\$0	
	049ak	MO902269	1	Central	Compass Health Inc	201 North Garth Avenue	Columbia	МО	65203	\$174,997	\$174,997	\$174,997	\$0	\$0	
	049bp	MO100313	×	Northwest	Compass Health Inc	616 Burkarth Road	Warrensburg	МО	64093	\$6,703	\$6,703	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049r	MO103231	1	Northwest	Compass Health Inc	300 Galaxie Avenue	Harrisonville	МО	64701	\$29,353	\$29,353	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049p	MO103280	1	Northwest	Compass Health Inc	703 North Devasher Road	Warrensburg	МО	64093	\$111,707	\$111,707	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049x	MO100865	1	Northwest	Compass Health Inc	819 South 13 Highway	Lexington	МО	64067	\$15,981	\$15,981	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049z	MO100808	1	Northwest	Compass Health Inc	1010 Remington Plaza	Raymore	МО	64083 -8640	\$42,517	\$42,517	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049o	MO103124	✓	Northwest	Compass Health Inc	1278 West U.S. Highway 40	Odessa	МО	64076	\$21,908	\$21,908	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049q	MO901543	✓	Northwest	Compass Health Inc	520 Burkarth Road Suite C	Warrensburg	МО	64093	\$10,019	\$10,019	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049bl	MO100271	✓	Southwest	Compass Health Inc	109 Wesmor Street	Clinton	МО	64735 -1786	\$36,904	\$36,904	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049bm	MO100280	×	Southwest	Compass Health Inc	805 North Orange Street	Butler	МО	64730	\$21,789	\$21,789	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049i	MO106242	✓	Southwest	Compass Health Inc	205 East Dakota Street	Butler	МО	64730	\$9,993	\$9,993	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049ad	MO101499	✓	Southwest	Compass Health Inc	101 Hospital Drive	Osceola	МО	64776 -6284	\$2,611	\$2,611	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049с	MO103801	✓	Southwest	Compass Health Inc	320 North Mac Boulevard	Nevada	МО	64772	\$29,983	\$29,983	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049w	MO103918	✓	Southwest	Compass Health Inc	107 West Broadway Street	El Dorado Springs	МО	64744	\$19,985	\$19,985	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049	MO901527	✓	Southwest	Compass Health Inc	1800 Community Drive	Clinton	МО	64735	\$760,991	\$420,513	\$0	\$340,478	\$0	
	049g	MO106309	✓	Southwest	Compass Health Inc	P.O. Box 736	Warsaw	МО	65355	\$25,423	\$25,423	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	049b	MO106218	✓	Southeast	Compass Health Inc	1450 East 10th Street	Rolla	МО	65401	\$55,177	\$55,177	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	058a	MO100518	1	Northwest	Comprehensive Mental Health Services	17844 East 23rd Street	Independence	МО	64057	\$378	\$378	\$378	\$0	\$0	
	058i	MO100184	1	Northwest	Comprehensive Mental Health Services	P.O. Box 260	Independence	МО	64051	\$253,960	\$253,960	\$146,399	\$0	\$0	
	058h	MO105772	√	Northwest	Comprehensive Mental Health Services	416 East College Street	Independence	МО	64050	\$45,948	\$45,948	\$45,948	\$0	\$0	
	058d	MO100710	1	Northwest	Comprehensive Mental Health Services	4311 East 58th Street	Kansas City	МО	64130	\$47,029	\$47,029	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	082f	MO101493	1	Eastern	COMTREA Inc	227 East Main Street	Festus	МО	63028	\$23,371	\$23,371	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	082g	MO101487	1	Eastern	COMTREA Inc	9501 Gold Finch Lane	Hillsboro	МО	63050	\$18,231	\$18,231	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	082a	MO901592	✓	Eastern	COMTREA Inc	227 East Main Street	Festus	МО	63028	\$121,055	\$121,055	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	082b	MO103009	1	Eastern	COMTREA Inc	21 Municipal Drive	Arnold	МО	63010	\$87,085	\$87,085	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	1894	MO101031	1	Southwest	Correction Services	2200 East Sunshine Street Suite 330	Springfield	МО	65801	\$369	\$369	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	1206a	Х	×	Eastern	Curators of the University of Missouri - Saint Louis	341 Woods Hall, One University Blvd	Saint Louis	МО	63121 -4400	\$16,702	\$0	\$0	\$16,702	\$0	
	422	MO000081	✓	Southwest	Door to Hope	P.O. Box 1049	Nixa	МО	65714	\$4,864	\$4,864	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	210c	MO106077	1	Eastern	Eastern MO Alt Sentencing Services Inc	2724 Droste Road	Saint Charles	МО	63301	\$2,944	\$2,944	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	210d	MO103884	1	Eastern	Eastern MO Alt Sentencing Services Inc	415 East Cherry Street	Troy	MO		\$1,666	\$1,666	\$0	\$0	\$0	30 of 100

\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
\$0 \$0 \$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0

250b	MO102068	✓	Northwest	Midwest ADP Inc	3923 South Lynn Court	Independence	МО	64055	\$19,034	\$19,034	\$0	\$0	\$0	
250d	MO105251	1	Northwest	Midwest ADP Inc	6060 North Oak Trafficway	Gladstone	МО	64118 -5189	\$17,503	\$17,503	\$0	\$0	\$0	
1662	MO101491	1	Central Region	Missouri Alcohol Drug Assessment	1014 West Highway 28	Owensville	МО	65066	\$704	\$704	\$0	\$0	\$0	
1647	Х	×	Statewide	Missouri Alliance of Boys & Girls Clubs	122 N Ocean Drive	Port Lavaca	TX	77979	\$376,841	\$0	\$0	\$376,841	\$0	
1653	х	×	Statewide	Missouri Association of Community Task Forces	428 E. Capitol	Jefferson City	МО	65101	\$519,180	\$0	\$0	\$519,180	\$0	
152	Х	×	Eastern	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Abuse	8790 Manchester Road	Brentwood	МО	63144	\$719,359	\$0	\$0	\$719,359	\$0	
262	MO102928	✓	Eastern	New Beginnings CSTAR Inc	1408 North Kingshighway Boulevard Suite 004	Saint Louis	МО	63113 -1400	\$31,813	\$31,813	\$0	\$0	\$0	
226	MO101187	1	Northwest	Northland Dependency Services LLC	3917 Broadway	Kansas City	МО	64111	\$3,087	\$3,087	\$0	\$0	\$0	
226a	MO101755	✓	Northwest	Northland Dependency Services LLC	26 South Gallatin Street	Liberty	МО	64068	\$3,525	\$3,525	\$0	\$0	\$0	
052	MO100305	✓	Southwest	Ozark Center	P.O. Box 2526	Joplin	МО	64803	\$28,177	\$28,177	\$0	\$0	\$0	
052b	MO100650	1	Southwest	Ozark Center/New Directions	305 Virginia Street	Joplin	МО	64801	\$77,275	\$77,275	\$0	\$0	\$0	
0521	MO100869	1	Southwest	Ozark Center/New Directions	P.O. Box 2526	Joplin	МО	64803	\$271	\$271	\$0	\$0	\$0	
052d	MO901501	✓	Southwest	Ozark Center/New Directions	3010 McClelland Boulevard	Joplin	МО	64804	\$129,972	\$129,972	\$0	\$0	\$0	
052a	MO103389	✓	Southwest	Ozark Center/New Directions	214 North Washington Street	Neosho	МО	64850	\$17,044	\$17,044	\$0	\$0	\$0	
053a	MO102159	1	Central	Phoenix Programs Inc	90 East Leslie Lane	Columbia	МО	65202	\$742,836	\$742,836	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153as	MO100082	✓	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare	P.O. Box 767	Kirksville	МО	63501 -0767	\$121,158	\$121,158	\$74,562	\$0	\$0	
153ba	MO101824	✓	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare	P.O. Box 767	Kirksville	МО	63501 -0767	\$2,318	\$2,318	\$1,961	\$0	\$0	
153bb	MO102803	✓	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare	P.O. Box 767	Kirksville	МО	63501 -0767	\$25,457	\$25,457	\$5,008	\$0	\$0	
153ar	MO100117	1	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare	4066 Dunnica Avenue	Saint Louis	МО	63116 -1116	\$454,578	\$454,578	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153bk	MO100248	1	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare	1559 Old South River Road	Saint Charles	МО	63303 -4120	\$3	\$3	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153aw	MO101136	1	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare	1601 Old South River Road	Saint Charles	МО	63303	\$628,344	\$628,344	\$618,795	\$0	\$0	
153az	MO101785	×	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	1570 South Main Street	Saint Charles	МО	63303	\$50,750	\$50,750	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153ax	MO101458	√	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	P.O. Box 767	Kirksville	МО	63501 -0767	\$87,350	\$87,350	\$28,818	\$0	\$0	
153ai	MO101449	1	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	11701 West Florissant Avenue Suite 273	Florissant	МО	63033	\$187	\$187	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153bh	MO100193	√	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	4928 Delmar Boulevard	Saint Louis	МО	63108 -1615	\$151,525	\$151,525	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153av	MO100786	×	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	118 North 2nd Street Suite 200	Saint Charles	МО	63301 -2894	\$108,208	\$108,208	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153q	MO100668	✓	Central	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	210 Hoover Road	Jefferson City	МО	65109	\$155,591	\$155,591	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153ay	MO101486	1	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	P.O. Box 767	Kirksville	МО	63501 -0767	\$41,156	\$41,156	\$9,426	\$0	\$0	
153am	MO101090	1	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	411 East Locust Street	Union	МО	63084	\$9,811	\$9,811	\$0	\$0	\$0	

153w	MO100503	✓	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	5025 Northrup Avenue	Saint Louis	МО	63110	\$34,995	\$34,995	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153d	MO100567	1	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	3800 South Broadway	Saint Louis	МО	63118	\$304,891	\$304,891	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153bc	MO106069	1	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	P.O. Box 767	Kirksville	МО	63501 -0767	\$47,591	\$47,591	\$7,474	\$0	\$0	
153e	MO105715	1	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	Brookville Office 900 East LaHarpe Street	Kirksville	МО	63501	\$64,640	\$64,640	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153al	MO101648	✓	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	101 West College Street Suite 1	Troy	МО	63379	\$8,103	\$8,103	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153an	MO101650	✓	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	1776 Crosswinds Drive	Wentzville	МО	63385	\$1,276	\$1,276	\$0	\$0	\$0	
1531	MO101169	✓	Central	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	P.O. Box 767	Kirksville	МО	63501	\$181,814	\$181,814	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153i	MO101797	✓	Central	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	900 East LaHarpe Street	Kirksville	МО	63501	\$444,774	\$37,350	\$6,176	\$407,425	\$0	
153f	MO105046	✓	Central	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	1715 South Morley Street Suite A	Moberly	МО	65270	\$6,417	\$6,417	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153b	MO105723	✓	Central	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	101 Adams Street	Jefferson City	МО	65101	\$34,796	\$34,796	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153g	MO105780	1	Central	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	4355 Paris Gravel Road	Hannibal	МО	63401	\$15,732	\$15,732	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153af	MO106093	1	Central	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	137 West Cedar Street	Kahoka	МО	63445	\$6,452	\$6,452	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153at	MO100283	1	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	P.O. Box 767	Kirksville	МО	63501 -0767	\$8,610	\$8,610	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153au	MO100765	×	Eastern	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	P.O. Box 767	Kirksville	МО	63501 -0767	\$8,525	\$8,525	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153o	MO000025	1	Northwest	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	7 Westowne Street	Liberty	МО	64068	\$180,701	\$180,701	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153ab	MO101479	1	Northwest	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	109 North Main Street	Milan	МО	63556	\$3,879	\$3,879	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153m	MO103892	1	Northwest	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	1 Center Drive Burris Community Center, Suite 3	Brookfield	МО	64628	\$21,255	\$21,255	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153bl	MO105202	1	Northwest	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	1628 Oklahoma Avenue	Trenton	МО	64683	\$53,901	\$53,901	\$0	\$0	\$0	
1 53j	MO105038	1	Northwest	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	1702 Buckingham Drive	Saint Joseph	МО	64506 -3605	\$12,936	\$12,936	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153ac	MO102019	√	Northwest	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	8333 East Blue Parkway	Kansas City	МО	64133	\$241,099	\$241,099	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153ap	MO101560	1	Southwest	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	P.O. Box 1277	Springfield	МО	65804	\$43,217	\$43,217	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153ah	MO100922	1	Southwest	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	5620 West Wildwood Ranch Parkway	Joplin	МО	64804 -4520	\$122,146	\$122,146	\$0	\$0	\$0	
153aq	MO903879	✓	Southwest	Preferred Family Healthcare Inc	P.O. Box 1277	Springfield	МО	65801	\$403,299	\$403,299	\$400,805	\$0	\$0	
1648	х	×	Southeast	Prevention Consultants	104 E. Seventh Street	Rolla	МО	65401	\$131,220	\$0	\$0	\$131,220	\$0	
189b	MO102248	×	Eastern	Queen of Peace Center	325 North Newstead	Saint Louis	МО	63108	\$612	\$612	\$612	\$0	\$0	
189	MO100591	1	Eastern	Queen of Peace Center at Cathedral	325 North Newstead Avenue	Saint Louis	МО	63108	\$74,587	\$74,587	\$74,587	\$0	\$0	
057i	MO101786	✓	Northwest	ReDiscover	927 NE Columbus Street	Lees Summit	МО	64086 -2977	\$18,068	\$18,068	\$9,082	\$0	\$0	
0571	MO100192	1	Northwest	ReDiscover	P.O. Box 10025	Kansas City	МО	64127	\$306,022	\$306,022	\$65,157	\$0	\$0	
057m	MO100191	1	Northwest	ReDiscover	3211 Woodland Avenue	Kansas City	МО	64109 -2073	\$205,558	\$205,558	\$205,558	\$0	\$0	
057n	MO100667	1	Northwest	ReDiscover	1555 E Rice Road	Lees Summit	МО	64086	\$916,201	\$916,201	\$0	\$0	\$0	
057o	MO100716	✓	Northwest	ReDiscover	1555-E NE Rice Road	Lees Summit	МО	64086 -6034	\$452,455	\$452,455	\$0	\$0	\$0	

057j	MO101436	>	Northwest	ReDiscover	3720 Gillham Road	Kansas City	МО	64111	\$22,848	\$22,848	\$22,848	\$0	\$0	
057k	MO102287	✓	Northwest	ReDiscover	901 NE Independence Avenue	Lees Summit	МО	64086	\$16,509	\$16,509	\$0	\$0	\$0	
089a	MO750403	1	Eastern	Salvation Army	2900 Washington Avenue	Saint Louis	МО	63103	\$328,667	\$328,667	\$0	\$0	\$0	
089b	MO101033	1	Eastern	Salvation Army/Harbor Light Center	1130 Hampton Avenue	Saint Louis	МО	63139 -3147	\$53,265	\$53,265	\$0	\$0	\$0	
1651	х	×	Northwest	SAVE Inc	3000 Harrison St, PO Box 45301	Kansas City	МО	64171	\$5,555	\$5,555	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158c	MO902319	>	Southeast	SE Missouri Behavioral Health	P.O. Box 459	Farmington	МО	63640 -0459	\$293,476	\$205,050	\$0	\$88,426	\$0	
158m	MO903259	>	Southeast	SE Missouri Behavioral Health Inc	P.O. Box 506	Park Hills	МО	63601 -0506	\$204	\$204	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158aa	MO100240	>	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	P.O. Box 459	Farmington	МО	63640 -0459	\$370,230	\$370,230	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158ab	MO100236	>	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	200 North Washington Street	Salem	МО	65560 -1349	\$27,730	\$27,730	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158a	MO000022	>	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	101 South Main Street	Poplar Bluff	МО	63901	\$397,786	\$397,786	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158d	MO105095	>	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	1526 West Business Highway 60	Dexter	МО	63841	\$20,492	\$20,492	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158t	MO101518	>	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	1014 West Highway 28	Owensville	МО	65066	\$26,880	\$26,880	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158o	MO101468	>	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	104 Washington Street Suite A	Doniphan	МО	63935	\$2,866	\$2,866	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158p	MO101451	×	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	1430 Doubet Road	Farmington	МО	63640	\$22,932	\$22,932	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158r	MO101471	>	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	P.O. Box 506	Park Hills	МО	63601	\$16,445	\$16,445	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158s	MO101470	✓	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	P.O. Box 107	Poplar Bluff	МО	63901	\$1,223	\$1,223	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158q	MO101469	✓	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	P.O. Box 107	Poplar Bluff	МО	63902	\$45,612	\$45,612	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158j	MO103165	√	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	312 North Franklin Street	Cuba	МО	65453 -1717	\$51,124	\$51,124	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158k	MO103140	✓	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	1051 Kingshighway Suite 5	Rolla	МО	65401	\$53,576	\$53,576	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158h	MO000021	✓	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	3150 Warrior Lane	Poplar Bluff	МО	63901	\$50,437	\$50,437	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158e	MO102571	>	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	10071 Crescent Road	Potosi	МО	63664	\$51,671	\$51,671	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158b	MO103157	>	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	1597 North Highway 63	Houston	МО	65483	\$20,826	\$20,826	\$0	\$0	\$0	
158i	MO102289	>	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	P.O. Box 506	Park Hills	МО	63601 -0506	\$11,851	\$11,851	\$0	\$0	\$0	
				Southeast										

	158ac	MO100275	✓	Southeast	Missouri Behavioral Health	P.O. Box 107	Poplar Bluff	МО	63902 -0107	\$14,539	\$14,539	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	158ad	MO903853	1	Southeast	Southeast Missouri Behavioral Health	203 North Grand Street	Salem	МО	65560	\$350,337	\$350,337	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	1694	Х	×	Southeast	Southeast Missouri State University	One University Plaza	Cape Girardeau	МО	63701	\$103,076	\$0	\$0	\$103,076	\$0	
	502	x	×	Eastern	ST LOUIS CARDINALS LLC	700 Clark Street	Saint Louis	мо	63102	\$12,500	\$0	\$0	\$12,500	\$0	
	087b	MO903127	1	Northwest	Swope Health Services	3801 Blue Parkway	Kansas City	мо	64130 -2807	\$508,845	\$508,845	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	087a	MO106598	1	Northwest	Swope Health Services	3950 East 51st Street	Kansas City	мо	64130	\$382,277	\$382,277	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	185	MO105152	1	Northwest	Tri County Mental Health Services	3100 NE 83rd Street Suite 1001	Kansas City	МО	64119	\$98,730	\$0	\$0	\$98,730	\$0	
	061g	MO100718	×	Central	Turning Point Recovery Center	504 Lewis Street	Canton	мо	63435	\$9,390	\$9,390	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	061d	MO106101	×	Central	Turning Point Recovery Center	303 North Missouri Street Suite E	Macon	МО	63552	\$14,064	\$14,064	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	061	MO750098	×	Central	Turning Point Recovery Center	146 Communications Drive	Hannibal	МО	63401	\$411,981	\$411,981	\$196,700	\$0	\$0	
	061a	MO100016	×	Central	Turning Point Recovery Center	146 Communications Drive	Hannibal	мо	63401 -6372	\$28,747	\$28,747	\$28,747	\$0	\$0	
	061i	MO101793	×	Central	Turning Point Recovery Center	1420 Business 61 South	Bowling Green	мо	63334	\$12,783	\$12,783	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	061b	MO101011	×	Central	Turning Point Recovery Center	201 East Monroe Street Suite 103	Mexico	мо	65265	\$37,682	\$37,682	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	061f	MO106671	×	Central	Turning Point Recovery Center	100 East Rollins Street Suite A	Moberly	мо	65270	\$22,761	\$22,761	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	407	Х	×	Statewide	University of MO - Columbia	Sponsored Programs Admin 310	Columbia	МО	65211	\$22,730	\$0	\$0	\$22,730	\$0	
	408	х	×	Eastern	University of MO - St. Louis	341 Woods Hall, One University Blvd	Saint Louis	мо	63120	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$0	
	269	MO105087	1	Eastern	Westend Clinic Inc	5736 West Florissant Avenue	Saint Louis	МО	63120	\$582,308	\$582,308	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total										\$24,293,670	\$19,418,588	\$2,836,840	\$4,875,082	\$0	

*	Indicates	the	imported	record	has	an error	

Footnotes:	Footnotes:					
------------	------------	--	--	--	--	--

Table 8a - Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures for SUD Prevention and Treatment

This Maintenance of Effort table provides a description of non-federal expenditures for authorized activities to prevent and treat substance abuse flowing through the Single State Agency (SSA) during the state fiscal year immediately preceding the federal fiscal year for which the state is applying for funds.

Total Single State Agency (SSA) Expenditures for Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment

Expenditure Period Start Date: Expenditure Period End Date:

Period	Expenditures	<u>B1(2016) + B2(2017)</u> 2
(A)	(B)	(C)
SFY 2016 (1)	\$59,073,806	
SFY 2017 (2)	\$61,815,842	\$60,444,824
SFY 2018 (3)	\$60,445,351	
SFY 2016 Yes X SFY 2017 Yes X SFY 2018 Yes X	lumn B "actual" expenditures for the State fisc No No No No	
Did the state or jurisdiction have any non-re the MOE calculation?	curring expenditures as described in 42 U.S.C.	§ 300x-30(b) for a specific purpose which were not included in
Yes No X		
If yes, specify the amount and the State fisca	l year:	
If yes, SFY:		
Did the State or Jurisdiction include these further than the State submit an official requestion.	nds in previous year MOE calculations?	ase funds from the MOE calculations?
when did the State submit an official reques	it to the Salvinsa Administrator to exclude the	ese fullus from the MOE calculations:
If estimated expenditures are provided, plea	se indicate when actual expenditure data will	be submitted to SAMHSA:
Please provide a description of the amounts prevention and treatment 42 U.S.C. §300x-30 The SAPT Block Grant MOE is an average of tyear's state expenditures. State expenditures the SAMII Accounting system by appropriation	the two prior sare tracked in	e State Agency (SSA) expenditures for substance abuse
Footnotes:		

Table 8d - Expenditures for Services to Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children

This table provides a report of all statewide, non-federal funds expended on specialized treatment and related services which meet the SABG requirements for pregnant women and women with dependent children during the state fiscal year immediately preceding the federal fiscal year for which the state is applying for funds.

Expenditure Period Start Date: Expenditure Period End Date:

Base

Period	Total Women's Base (A)
SFY 1994	7728020.00

Maintenance

Period	Total Women's Base (A)	Total Expenditures (B)	Expense Type
SFY 2016		10713048.00	
SFY 2017		12088562.00	
SFY 2018		\$ 9818102.00	

Please provide a description of the amounts and methods used to calculate the base and, for 1994 and subsequent fiscal years, report the Federal and State expenditures for such services for services to pregnant women and women with dependent children as required by 42 U.S.C. §300x-22(b) (1).

The Division used the following method to calculate the amounts for the base and subsequent years for services to pregnant women and women with dependent children. The Department of Mental Health Customer Information Management, Outcomes and Reporting system captures services delivered to clients by service code. For the base year 1992, all payments for services to women at programs meeting the requirements of Section 1922(c) and Section 96.124(e) were summed and segregated by funding source (Federal Block Grant and Non-Federal or State Funds).



Footnotes:

Table 9 - Prevention Strategy Report

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2015 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2017

Column A (Risks)		Column C (Providers)
Children of substance	1. Information Dissemination	(Troviacis)
abusers	Clearinghouse/information resources centers	12
	2. Resources directories	13
	4. Brochures	22
	7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars	13
	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	2. Education	
	Parenting and family management	3
	3. Alternatives	
	6. Recreation activities	12
	4. Problem Identification and Re	ferral
	4. School screenings	4
	5. Community-Based Process	•
	Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactortraining, staff/officials training	19
	2. Systematic planning	12
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	13
	4. Community team-building	11
	5. Accessing services and funding	12
	6. Environmental	
	Promoting the establishmen or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies in schools	t 11
	Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring enforcement governing availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs	12
Pregnant women/teens	1. Information Dissemination	
women/teens	Clearinghouse/information resources centers	12
	2. Resources directories	13
	4. Brochures	22

	7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars	13
	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	3. Alternatives	
	6. Recreation activities	12
	4. Problem Identification and Refe	rral
	4. School screenings	4
	5. Community-Based Process	
	Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactortraining, staff/officials training	19
	2. Systematic planning	12
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	13
	4. Community team-building	11
	5. Accessing services and funding	12
Drop-outs	1. Information Dissemination	
	Clearinghouse/information resources centers	12
	2. Resources directories	13
	4. Brochures	22
	7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars	13
	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	3. Alternatives	I
	6. Recreation activities	12
	5. Community-Based Process	
	Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactortraining, staff/officials training	19
	2. Systematic planning	12
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	13
	4. Community team-building	11
	5. Accessing services and funding	12
Violent and	1. Information Dissemination	
delinquent behavior	Clearinghouse/information resources centers	12
	2. Resources directories	13
	4. Brochures	22
	7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars	13
1.44/00/2010 2.70	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1

1			1
		2. Education	
		Education programs for youth groups Alternatives	18
		5. Alternatives	
		6. Recreation activities	12
		4. Problem Identification and Reference	ral
		4. School screenings	4
		5. Community-Based Process	
		Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactortraining, staff/officials training	19
		2. Systematic planning	12
		3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	13
		4. Community team-building	11
		5. Accessing services and funding 6. Environmental	12
		Promoting the establishment or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies in schools	11
		Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring enforcement governing availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs	12
Ì	Mental health problems	1. Information Dissemination	
	problems	Clearinghouse/information resources centers	12
		2. Resources directories	13
		4. Brochures	22
		7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences,	13
		meetings, seminars	
		Information lines/Hot lines Education	1
		Parenting and family management Alternatives	3
		6. Recreation activities	12
		4. Problem Identification and Refer	rral
		4. School screenings	4
		5. Community-Based Process	
		Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactor-training, staff/officials training.	19
		training, staff/officials training 2. Systematic planning	12

	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	13
	4. Community team-building	11
	5. Accessing services and funding	12
	6. Environmental	
	Promoting the establishment or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies in schools	11
	Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring enforcement governing availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs	12
Economically	1. Information Dissemination	
disadvantaged	Clearinghouse/information resources centers	12
	2. Resources directories	13
	4. Brochures	22
	7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars	13
	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	2. Education	
	Parenting and family management	3
	2. Ongoing classroom and/or small group sessions	8
	5. Mentors	4
	3. Alternatives	
	2. Youth/adult leadership activities	17
	6. Recreation activities	13
	4. Problem Identification and Refe	rral
	4. School screenings	4
	5. Community-Based Process	
	Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactortraining, staff/officials training	19
	2. Systematic planning	12
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	13
	4. Community team-building	11
	5. Accessing services and funding	12
	6. Environmental	1
	Promoting the establishment or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies in schools	11
	Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring	

	enforcement governing availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs	12
Physically disabled	1. Information Dissemination	
	Clearinghouse/information resources centers	12
	2. Resources directories	13
	4. Brochures	22
	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	3. Alternatives	
	6. Recreation activities	12
	4. Problem Identification and Reference	rral
	4. School screenings	4
	5. Community-Based Process	
	 Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactor- training, staff/officials training 	19
	2. Systematic planning	12
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	13
	4. Community team-building	11
	5. Accessing services and funding	12
Abuse victims	1. Information Dissemination	
	Clearinghouse/information resources centers	12
	2. Resources directories	13
	4. Brochures	22
	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	3. Alternatives	
	6. Recreation activities	12
	4. Problem Identification and Refe	rral
	4. School screenings	4
	5. Community-Based Process	
	Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactortraining, staff/officials training	19
	2. Systematic planning	12
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	13
	4. Community team-building	11
	5. Accessing services and funding	12
Already using	1. Information Dissemination	

substances		
substances	Clearinghouse/information resources centers	12
	2. Resources directories	13
	4. Brochures	22
	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	3. Alternatives	
	6. Recreation activities	12
	4. Problem Identification and Reference	rral
	4. School screenings	4
	5. Community-Based Process	
	Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactortraining, staff/officials training	19
	2. Systematic planning	12
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	13
	4. Community team-building	11
	5. Accessing services and funding	12
Homeless and/or runaway youth	1. Information Dissemination	
Tuliaway youtii	Clearinghouse/information resources centers	12
	2. Resources directories	13
	4. Brochures	22
	7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars	13
	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	3. Alternatives	
	6. Recreation activities	12
	4. Problem Identification and Reference	rral
	4. School screenings	4
	5. Community-Based Process	
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	13

Footnotes:

Table 10 - Treatment Utilization Matrix

This table is intended to capture the count of persons with initial admissions and subsequent admission(s) to an episode of care.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Level of Care		sions <u>></u> Number of Served		Costs per Person	
	Number of Admissions (A)	Number of Persons Served (B)	Median Cost of Services (D)	Standard Deviation of Cost (E)	
DETOXIFICATION (24-HOUR CARE)					
1. Hospital Inpatient	196	184	\$1,903	\$1,918	\$1,363
2. Free-Standing Residential	5451	4687	\$924	\$441	\$963
REHABILITATION/RESIDENTIAL					
3. Hospital Inpatient	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4. Short-term (up to 30 days)	8019	6972	\$4,422	\$3,660	\$4,471
5. Long-term (over 30 days)	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
AMBULATORY (OUTPATIENT)					
6. Outpatient	10853	10410	\$1,525	\$817	\$2,302
7. Intensive Outpatient	20479	18310	\$2,058	\$1,074	\$2,817
8. Detoxification	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
OPIOID REPLACEMENT THERAPY					
9. Opioid Replacement Therapy	147	143	\$1,559	\$2,018	\$871
10. ORT Outpatient	1345	1228	\$2,484	\$1,619	\$3,065
Footnotes:					

Table 11 - Unduplicated Count of Persons

This table provides an aggregate profile of the unduplicated number of admissions and persons for services funded through the SABG.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Age	A. Total	В. V	VHITE	AFR	ACK OR ICAN RICAN	HAW. OTHER	ATIVE AIIAN / PACIFIC NDER	E. A	SIAN	IND	ERICAN IAN / A NATIVE	ONE	RE THAN RACE DRTED	H. Un	known		HISPANIC ATINO		ANIC OR
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
1. 17 and Under	1689	788	434	208	56	3	0	3	2	4	2	74	37	58	20	1070	525	68	26
2. 18 - 24	3735	1721	1304	320	132	3	2	6	3	3	3	96	57	60	25	2138	1494	71	32
3. 25 - 44	19389	8579	6685	2263	947	13	4	22	8	15	19	295	281	179	79	11110	7873	256	150
4. 45 - 64	7070	3381	1702	1331	441	1	0	4	5	11	4	86	47	51	6	4783	2186	82	19
5. 65 and Over	314	168	45	79	11	0	0	1	0	2	0	7	0	1	0	255	56	3	0
6. Total	32197	14637	10170	4201	1587	20	6	36	18	35	28	558	422	349	130	19356	12134	480	227
7. Pregnant Women	803		630		125		0		1		1		36		10		794		9
Number of persons served who were in a period prior to the 12 month repperiod		9275																	
Number of persons served outside of of care described on Table 10	f the levels	13335																	
Footnotes:																			

Table 12 - SABG Early Intervention Services Regarding the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (EIS/HIV) in Designated States

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

	Early Intervention Services for Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)				
1.	Number of SAPT HIV EIS programs funded in the State	Statewide:	Rural:		
2.	Total number of individuals tested through SAPT HIV EIS funded programs				
3.	Total number of HIV tests conducted with SAPT HIV EIS funds				
4.	Total number of tests that were positive for HIV				
5.	Total number of individuals who prior to the 12- month reporting period were unaware of their HIV infection				
6.	Total number of HIV-infected individuals who were diagnosed and referred into treatment and care during the 12-month reporting period				
Id	entify barriers, including State laws and regulations, that	exist in carrying out HIV testing services:			
	potnotes: issouri is not an HIV designated state.				

Table 13 - Charitable Choice

Under Charitable Choice Provisions; Final Rule (42 CFR Part 54), states, local governments, and religious organizations, such as SAMHSA grant recipients, must: (1) ensure that religious organizations that are providers provide to all potential and actual program beneficiaries (services recipients) notice of their right to alternative services; (2) ensure that religious organizations that are providers refer program beneficiaries to alternative services; and (3) fund and/or provide alternative services. The term "alternative services" means services determined by the state to be accessible and comparable and provided within a reasonable period of time from another substance abuse provider ("alternative provider") to which the program beneficiary (services recipient) has no religious objection. The purpose of this table is to document how the state is complying with these provisions.

	a reasonable period of time from another substance abuse provider ("alternative provider") to which the program beneficiary (services recipient) or religious objection. The purpose of this table is to document how the state is complying with these provisions.	
Expen	diture Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018	
Notic	te to Program Beneficiaries - Check all that apply:	
~	Used model notice provided in final regulation.	
	Used notice developed by State (please attach a copy to the Report).	
~	State has disseminated notice to religious organizations that are providers.	
~	State requires these religious organizations to give notice to all potential beneficiaries.	
Refer	rals to Alternative Services - Check all that apply:	
	State has developed specific referral system for this requirement.	
~	State has incorporated this requirement into existing referral system(s).	
~	SAMHSA's Behavioral Health Treatment Locator is used to help identify providers.	
~	Other networks and information systems are used to help identify providers.	
~	State maintains record of referrals made by religious organizations that are providers.	
0	Enter total number of referrals necessitated by religious objection to other substance abuse providers ("alternative providers"), as defined above, made in previous fiscal year. Provide total only; no information on specific referrals required.	
	description (one paragraph) of any training for local governments and faith-based and community organizations on the rements.	зe
betwe trainin	ccess to Recovery (ATR) IV grant supports a voucher-based program, of which consumer choice is fundamental. Each consumer served can choose en at least two service providers, to which at least one they have no religious objection. That basic premise is repeated in all ATR policies and ags. GPRA trainings and regional ATR trainings and meetings all reinforce consumer choice as a core aspect of ATR. Additionally, a free-choice nent is printed on every ATR voucher.	
Foot	tnotes:	

Table 14 - Treatment Performance Measure Employment/Education Status (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

Employment/Education Status - Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator]	1,051	1,048
Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator]	4,309	4,309
Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time)	24.4 %	24.3 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		2,617
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		4,622
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		4,614
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		4,495
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values	5):	4,309

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Long-term Residential(LR)

Employment/Education Status - Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator]	0	0
Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator]	0	0
Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time)	0.0 %	0.0 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		0
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		0
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		0
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replaceme incarcerated):	nt clients; deaths;	0

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Outpatient (OP)

Employment/Education Status - Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator]	1,824	2,028
Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator]	3,465	3,465
Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time)	52.6 %	58.5 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		3,723
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		5,537
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		4,480
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		3,991
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing value	s):	3,465

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

nployment/Education Status – Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge		
	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator]	2,682	2,864
Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator]	7,941	7,941
Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time)	33.8 %	36.1 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		7,964
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		10,556
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		10,018
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replaceme incarcerated):	nt clients; deaths;	9,285

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Footnotes:

Missouri does not have long-term residential treatment.

TEDS data submitted through 5/1/2018 includes only the first half of CY 2017. Missouri is participating in the Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) Perspective Payment System Demonstration Grant which necessitated major changes to Missouri's billing system and affected Missouri's ability to extract TEDS data. Missouri requested and was granted an extension on the submission of FY 2018 data until August 2018 at which time Missouri did submit all records through FY 2018. The second half of CY 2017 data will be included in this table when this data is refreshed in February 2019.

Printed: 11/26/2018 9:56 AM - Missouri - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Table 15 - Treatment Performance Measure Stability of Housing (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

Stability of Housing – Clients reporting being in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

ability of Housing – Chefts reporting being in a stable living situation (prior 50 days) at admission	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients in a stable living situation [numerator]	3,336	3,417
Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator]	4,034	4,034
Percent of clients in stable living situation	82.7 %	84.7 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		2,617
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		4,622
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		4,614
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		4,495
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values	s):	4,034

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Long-term Residential(LR)

Stability of Housing – Clients reporting being in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients in a stable living situation [numerator]	0	0
Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator]	0	0
Percent of clients in stable living situation	0.0 %	0.0 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		0
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		0
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		0
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		0

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Outpatient (OP)

Stability of Housing - Clients reporting being in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients in a stable living situation [numerator]	3,244	3,257
Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator]	3,308	3,308
Percent of clients in stable living situation	98.1 %	98.5 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		3,723
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		5,537
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		4,480
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replaceme incarcerated):	nt clients; deaths;	3,991
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing value	s):	3,308

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients in a stable living situation [numerator]	7,041	7,053
Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator]	7,457	7,457
Percent of clients in stable living situation	94.4 %	94.6 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		7,964
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		10,556
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		10,018
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		9,285

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Footnotes:

TEDS data submitted through 5/1/2018 includes only the first half of CY 2017. Missouri is participating in the Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) Perspective Payment System Demonstration Grant which necessitated major changes to Missouri's billing system and affected Missouri's ability to extract TEDS data. Missouri requested and was granted an extension on the submission of FY 2018 data until August 2018 at which time Missouri did submit all records through FY 2018. The second half of CY 2017 data will be included in this table when this data is refreshed in February 2019.

Printed: 11/26/2018 9:56 AM - Missouri - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Table 16 - Treatment Performance Measure Criminal Justice Involvement (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

chemis without arrests (any charge) (prior 50 days) at admission vs. discharge	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of Clients without arrests [numerator]	3,641	3,790
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on arrests [denominator]	4,265	4,265
Percent of clients without arrests	85.4 %	88.9 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		2,617
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		4,622
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		4,614
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		4,531
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values	s):	4,265

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Long-term Residential(LR)

Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of Clients without arrests [numerator]	0	0
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on arrests [denominator]	0	0
Percent of clients without arrests	0.0 %	0.0 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		0
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		0
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		0
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		0

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Outpatient (OP)

Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of Clients without arrests [numerator]	3,346	3,264
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on arrests [denominator]	3,469	3,469
Percent of clients without arrests	96.5 %	94.1 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		3,723
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		5,537
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		4,480
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		4,161
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values	5):	3,469

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

lients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge		
	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of Clients without arrests [numerator]	7,056	6,978
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on arrests [denominator]	7,611	7,611
Percent of clients without arrests	92.7 %	91.7 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		7,964
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		10,556
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		10,018
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement incarcerated):	nt clients; deaths;	9,600

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Footnotes:

TEDS data submitted through 5/1/2018 includes only the first half of CY 2017. Missouri is participating in the Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) Perspective Payment System Demonstration Grant which necessitated major changes to Missouri's billing system and affected Missouri's ability to extract TEDS data. Missouri requested and was granted an extension on the submission of FY 2018 data until August 2018 at which time Missouri did submit all records through FY 2018. The second half of CY 2017 data will be included in this table when this data is refreshed in February 2019.

Printed: 11/26/2018 9:56 AM - Missouri - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Table 17 - Treatment Performance Measure Change in Abstinence - Alcohol Use (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence - Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator]	3,097	3,242
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	4,445	4,445
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol	69.7 %	72.9 %

B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator]		305
Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	1,348	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		22.6 %

C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)		
	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator]		2,937
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	3,097	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		94.8 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		2,617
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		4,622
		,
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		4,614
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		4,531
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	4,445

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Printed: 11/26/2018 9:56 AM - Missouri - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence - Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator]	0	0
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	0	0
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol	0.0 %	0.0 %

B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator]		0
Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	0	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / $\#T1 \times 100$]		0.0 %

C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator]		0
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	0	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		0.0 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		0
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		0
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		0
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		0
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	0

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Outpatient (OP)

A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence - Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

At Admission(T1) At Discharge(T2)

Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator]	3,539	3,321
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	3,999	3,999
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol	88.5 %	83.0 %

B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator]		253
Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	460	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		55.0 %

C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)		
	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator]		3,068
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	3,539	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		86.7 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		3,723
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		5,537
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		4,480
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		4,161
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	3,999

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence – Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator]	7,270	7,268
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	9,191	9,191

Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol	79.1 %	79.1 %
---	--------	--------

B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator]		739
Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	1,921	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / $\#T1 \times 100$]		38.5 %

C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless	of primary problem)	
	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator]		6,529
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	7,270	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 \times 100]		89.8 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		7,964
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		10,556
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		10,018
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		9,600
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	9,191

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Footnotes:

TEDS data submitted through 5/1/2018 includes only the first half of CY 2017. Missouri is participating in the Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) Perspective Payment System Demonstration Grant which necessitated major changes to Missouri's billing system and affected Missouri's ability to extract TEDS data. Missouri requested and was granted an extension on the submission of FY 2018 data until August 2018 at which time Missouri did submit all records through FY 2018. The second half of CY 2017 data will be included in this table when this data is refreshed in February 2019.

Table 18 - Treatment Performance Measure Change in Abstinence - Other Drug Use (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS – CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence - Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator]	955	1,579
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	4,445	4,445
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs	21.5 %	35.5 %

B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator]		812
Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	3,490	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		23.3 %

C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

Cheffes absendent from Drug at discharge among clients absendent from Drug at admission (regardless of pr	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
	7107101111351011(12)	nt Discharge(12)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator]		767
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	955	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 $ imes$ 100]		80.3 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		2,617
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		4,622
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		4,614
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		4,531
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	4,445

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Long-term Residential(LR)

A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence - Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator]	0	0
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	0	0
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs	0.0 %	0.0 %

B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator]		0
Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	0	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		0.0 %

C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)		
	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator]		0
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	0	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 \times 100]		0.0 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		0
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		0
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		0
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacemen incarcerated):	nt clients; deaths;	0
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	0

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Outpatient (OP)

A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence – Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

At Admission(T1) At Discharge(T2)

Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator]	3,197	2,601
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	3,999	3,999
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs	79.9 %	65.0 %

B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator]		361
Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	802	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		45.0 %

C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

Cheffes abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of pr		
	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator]		2,240
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	3,197	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 \times 100]		70.1 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		3,723
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		
		5,537
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		4,480
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacemen incarcerated):	t clients; deaths;	4,161
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	3,999

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence – Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

Pray Abstinctice Cherics with no Dray use at daminssion vs. discharge, as a percent of all ellents (regardle	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator]	4,590	4,667
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	9,191	9,191

Percent of clients abstinent from drugs	49.9 %	50.8 %	
	, ,	ı	

B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator]		1,501
Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	4,601	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		32.6 %

C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)		
	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator]		3,166
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	4,590	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 \times 100]		69.0 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		7,964
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		10,556
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		10,018
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement incarcerated):	nt clients; deaths;	9,600
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	9,191

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Footnotes:

TEDS data submitted through 5/1/2018 includes only the first half of CY 2017. Missouri is participating in the Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) Perspective Payment System Demonstration Grant which necessitated major changes to Missouri's billing system and affected Missouri's ability to extract TEDS data. Missouri requested and was granted an extension on the submission of FY 2018 data until August 2018 at which time Missouri did submit all records through FY 2018. The second half of CY 2017 data will be included in this table when this data is refreshed in February 2019.

Table 19 - Treatment Performance Measure Change in Social Support Of Recovery (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

Social Support of Recovery - Clients attending Self-help Programs (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

ial Support of Recovery – Clients attending Self-neip Programs (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 day	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients attending self-help programs [numerator]	639	1,383
Total number of clients with non-missing values on self-help attendance [denominator]	4,313	4,313
Percent of clients attending self-help programs	14.8 %	32.1 %
Percent of clients with self-help attendance at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1]	17.	3 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		2,617
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		4,622
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:		4,614
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		4,531
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing value	s):	4,313

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Long-term Residential(LR)

Social Support of Recovery – Clients attending Self-help Programs (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients attending self-help programs [numerator]	0	0
Total number of clients with non-missing values on self-help attendance [denominator]	0	0
Percent of clients attending self-help programs	0.0 %	0.0 %
Percent of clients with self-help attendance at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1]	0.0	%
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		0
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:		0
		1

Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:	0	
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	0	
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	0	1

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Outpatient (OP)

Social Support of Recovery - Clients attending Self-help Programs (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)	
Number of clients attending self-help programs [numerator]	1,047	1,029	
Total number of clients with non-missing values on self-help attendance [denominator]	3,254	3,254	
Percent of clients attending self-help programs	32.2 %	31.6 %	
Percent of clients with self-help attendance at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1]	-0.6	5 %	
Notes (for this level of care):			
Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:		3,723	
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:			
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:			
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):			
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):			

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

Social Support of Recovery – Clients attending Self-help Programs (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients attending self-help programs [numerator]	1,769	1,839
Total number of clients with non-missing values on self-help attendance [denominator]	7,535	7,535
Percent of clients attending self-help programs	23.5 %	24.4 %
Percent of clients with self-help attendance at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1]	0.9) %
Notes (for this level of care):	'	

Number of CY 2017 admissions submitted:	7,964
Number of CY 2017 discharges submitted:	10,556
Number of CY 2017 discharges linked to an admission:	10,018
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	9,600
Number of CY 2017 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	7,535

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Footnotes:

TEDS data submitted through 5/1/2018 includes only the first half of CY 2017. Missouri is participating in the Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) Perspective Payment System Demonstration Grant which necessitated major changes to Missouri's billing system and affected Missouri's ability to extract TEDS data. Missouri requested and was granted an extension on the submission of FY 2018 data until August 2018 at which time Missouri did submit all records through FY 2018. The second half of CY 2017 data will be included in this table when this data is refreshed in February 2019.

Table 20 - Retention - Length of Stay (in Days) of Clients Completing Treatment

Level of Care	Average (Mean)	25 th Percentile	50 th Percentile (Median)	75 th Percentile	
DETOXIFICATION (24-HOUR CARE)					
1. Hospital Inpatient	3	2	2	4	
2. Free-Standing Residential	4	2	2	3	
REHABILITATION/RESIDENTIAL					
3. Hospital Inpatient	0	0	0	0	
4. Short-term (up to 30 days)	27	12	20	30	
5. Long-term (over 30 days)	0	0	0	0	
AMBULATORY (OUTPATIENT)					
6. Outpatient	125	49	92	164	
7. Intensive Outpatient	100	25	66	134	
8. Detoxification	0	0	0	0	
OPIOID REPLACEMENT THERAPY	OPIOID REPLACEMENT THERAPY				
9. Opioid Replacement Therapy	15	4	4	4	
10. ORT Outpatient	207	41	145	294	

Level of Care	2017 TEDS discharge record count		
	Discharges submitted	Discharges linked to an admission	
DETOXIFICATION (24-HOUR CARE)			
1. Hospital Inpatient	126	21	
2. Free-Standing Residential	3301	2948	
REHABILITATION/RESIDENTIAL			
3. Hospital Inpatient	0	0	

4. Short-term (up to 30 days)	4622	4614		
5. Long-term (over 30 days)	0	0		
AMBULATORY (OUTPATIENT)				
6. Outpatient	5537	4177		
7. Intensive Outpatient	10556	10018		
8. Detoxification	0	0		
OPIOID REPLACEMENT THERAPY	OPIOID REPLACEMENT THERAPY			
9. Opioid Replacement Therapy	0	224		
10. ORT Outpatient	0	303		

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2017 admissions file and CY 2017 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2018]

Footnotes:

TEDS data submitted through 5/1/2018 includes only the first half of CY 2017. Missouri is participating in the Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) Perspective Payment System Demonstration Grant which necessitated major changes to Missouri's billing system and affected Missouri's ability to extract TEDS data. Missouri requested and was granted an extension on the submission of FY 2018 data until August 2018 at which time Missouri did submit all records through FY 2018. The second half of CY 2017 data will be included in this table when this data is refreshed in February 2019.

Table 21 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: REDUCED MORBIDITY - ABSTINENCE FROM DRUG USE/ALCOHOL USE

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
1. 30-day Alcohol Use	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire. "Think specifically about the past 30 days, that is, from [DATEFILL] through today. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you drink one or more drinks of an alcoholic beverage?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having used alcohol during the past 30 days.		
	Age 12 - 20 - CY 2015 - 2016	20.6	
	Age 21+ - CY 2015 - 2016	56.6	
2. 30-day Cigarette Use	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 30 days, that is, since [DATEFILL], on how many days did you smoke part or all of a cigarette?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having smoked a cigarette during the past 30 days.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	6.7	
	Age 18+ - CY 2015 - 2016	24.0	
3. 30-day Use of Other Tobacco Products	Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 30 days, that is, since [DATEFILL], on how many days did you use [other tobacco products] ^[1] ?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having used a tobacco product other than cigarettes during the past 30 days, calculated by combining responses to questions about individual tobacco products (cigars, smokeless tobacco, pipe tobacco).		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	5.0	
	Age 18+ - CY 2015 - 2016	10.9	
4. 30-day Use of Marijuana	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Think specifically about the past 30 days, from [DATEFILL] up to and including today. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use marijuana or hashish?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having used marijuana or hashish during the past 30 days.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	5.8	
	Age 18+ - CY 2015 - 2016	8.0	
5. 30-day Use of Illegal Drugs Other Than Marijuana	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Think specifically about the past 30 days, from [DATEFILL] up to and including today. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use [any other illegal drug]? ^[2] Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having used illegal drugs other than marijuana or hashish during the past 30 days, calculated by combining responses to questions about individual drugs (heroin, cocaine, hallucinogens, inhalants, methamphetamine, and misuse of prescription drugs).		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016 1 - Missouri - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020	6.2	Page 80

1	L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	Age 18+ - CY 2015 - 2016	2.9	

[1]NSDUH asks separate questions for each tobacco product. The number provided combines responses to all questions about tobacco products other than cigarettes. [2]NSDUH asks separate questions for each illegal drug. The number provided combines responses to all questions about illegal drugs other than marijuana or hashish.

Footnotes:

Table 22 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: REDUCED MORBIDITY-ABSTINENCE FROM DRUG USE/ALCOHOL USE; MEASURE: PERCEPTION OF RISK/HARM OF USE

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
Perception of Risk From Alcohol	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they have five or more drinks of an alcoholic beverage once or twice a week? [Response options: No risk, slight risk, moderate risk, great risk] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting moderate or great risk.		
	Age 12 - 20 - CY 2015 - 2016	72.3	
	Age 21+ - CY 2015 - 2016	74.8	
2. Perception of Risk From Cigarettes	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they smoke one or more packs of cigarettes per day? [Response options: No risk, slight risk, moderate risk, great risk] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting moderate or great risk.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	91.0	
	Age 18+ - CY 2015 - 2016	90.4	
3. Perception of Risk From Marijuana	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they smoke marijuana once or twice a week?[Response options: No risk, slight risk, moderate risk, great risk] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting moderate or great risk.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	63.2	
	Age 18+ - CY 2015 - 2016	55.1	

Footnotes:			

Table 23 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: REDUCED MORBIDITY-ABSTINENCE FROM DRUG USE/ALCOHOL USE; MEASURE: AGE OF FIRST USE

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
1. Age at First Use of Alcohol	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Think about the first time you had a drink of an alcoholic beverage. How old were you the first time you had a drink of an alcoholic beverage? Please do not include any time when you only had a sip or two from a drink.?[Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of alcohol.		
	Age 12 - 20 - CY 2015 - 2016	14.6	
	Age 21+ - CY 2015 - 2016		
2. Age at First Use of Cigarettes	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you smoked part or all of a cigarette? [Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of cigarettes.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	12.4	
	Age 18+ - CY 2015 - 2016	15.9	
3. Age at First Use of Tobacco Products Other Than Cigarettes	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used [any other tobacco product] ^[1] ?[Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of tobacco products other than cigarettes.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	13.4	
	Age 18+ - CY 2015 - 2016	19.7	
4. Age at First Use of Marijuana or Hashish	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used marijuana or hashish?[Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of marijuana or hashish.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	13.9	
	Age 18+ - CY 2015 - 2016	18.3	
5. Age at First Use Heroin	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used heroin? [Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of heroin.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016		
	Age 18+ - CY 2015 - 2016		
6. Age at First Misuse of Prescription Pain Relievers Among Past Year Initiates	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used [specific pain reliever] ^[2] in a way a doctor did not direct you to use it?" [Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first misuse of prescription pain relievers among those who first misused prescription pain relievers in the last 12 months.		

Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	
Age 18+ - CY 2015 - 2016	

[1]The question was asked about each tobacco product separately, and the youngest age at first use was taken as the measure. [2]The question was asked about each drug in this category separately, and the youngest age at first use was taken as the measure.

F	o	o	tn	O	tes	:

Table 24 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: REDUCED MORBIDITY-ABSTINENCE FROM DRUG USE/ALCOHOL USE; MEASURE: PERCEPTION OF DISAPPROVAL/ATTITUDES

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
1. Disapproval of Cigarettes	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age smoking one or more packs of cigarettes a day?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	94.4	
2. Perception of Peer Disapproval of Cigarettes	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you think your close friends would feel about you smoking one or more packs of cigarettes a day?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting that their friends would somewhat or strongly disapprove.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	90.2	
3. Disapproval of Using Marijuana Experimentally	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age trying marijuana or hashish once or twice?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	80.2	
4. Disapproval of Using Marijuana Regularly	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age using marijuana once a month or more?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	79.6	
5. Disapproval of Alcohol	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age having one or two drinks of an alcoholic beverage nearly every day?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving.		
	Age 12 - 20 - CY 2015 - 2016		

Footnotes:			
rootilotes.			

Table 25 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: EMPLOYMENT/EDUCATION; MEASURE: PERCEPTION OF WORKPLACE POLICY

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
Perception of Workplace Policy	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Would you be more or less likely to want to work for an employer that tests its employees for drug or alcohol use on a random basis? Would you say more likely, less likely, or would it make no difference to you?[Response options: More likely, less likely, would make no difference] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting that they would be more likely to work for an employer conducting random drug and alcohol tests.		
	Age 15 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016		
	Age 18+ - CY 2015 - 2016	38.2	

Footnotes:

Table 26 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN - EMPLOYMENT/EDUCATION; MEASURE: AVERAGE DAILY SCHOOL ATTENDANCE RATE

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
Average Daily School Attendance Rate	Source: National Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data: <i>The National Public Education Finance Survey</i> available for download at http://nces.ed.gov/ccd/stfis.asp . Measure calculation: Average daily attendance (NCES defined) divided by total enrollment and multiplied by 100.		
	School Year 2015	92.3	

Footnotes:			

Table 27 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE MEASURE: ALCOHOL-RELATED TRAFFIC FATALITIES

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
Alcohol-Related Traffic Fatalities	Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Fatality Analysis Reporting System Measure calculation: The number of alcohol-related traffic fatalities divided by the total number of traffic fatalities and multiplied by 100.		
	CY 2016	31.9	

Footnotes:			

Table 28 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE MEASURE: ALCOHOL- AND DRUGRELATED ARRESTS

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
Alcohol- and Drug- Related Arrests	Source: Federal Bureau of Investigation Uniform Crime Reports Measure calculation: The number of alcohol- and drug-related arrests divided by the total number of arrests and multiplied by 100.		
	CY 2016	27.7	

Footnotes:			

Table 29 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: SOCIAL CONNECTEDNESS; MEASURE: FAMILY COMMUNICATIONS AROUND DRUG AND ALCOHOL USE

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
1. Family Communications Around Drug and Alcohol Use (Youth)	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Now think about the past 12 months, that is, from [DATEFILL] through today. During the past 12 months, have you talked with at least one of your parents about the dangers of tobacco, alcohol, or drug use? By parents, we mean either your biological parents, adoptive parents, stepparents, or adult guardians, whether or not they live with you.?[Response options: Yes, No] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting having talked with a parent.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	56.1	
2. Family Communications Around Drug and Alcohol Use (Parents of children aged 12- 17)	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 12 months, how many times have you talked with your child about the dangers or problems associated with the use of tobacco, alcohol, or other drugs? ^[1] [Response options: 0 times, 1 to 2 times, a few times, many times] Outcome Reported: Percent of parents reporting that they have talked to their child.		
	Age 18+ - CY 2015 - 2016	90.5	

[1]NSDUH does not ask this question of all sampled parents. It is a validation question posed to parents of 12- to 17-year-old survey respondents. Therefore, the responses are not representative of the population of parents in a State. The sample sizes are often too small for valid reporting.

|--|

Table 30 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN - RETENTION MEASURE: PERCENTAGE OF YOUTH SEEING, READING, WATCHING, OR LISTENING TO A PREVENTION MESSAGE

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
Exposure to Prevention Messages	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 12 months, do you recall [hearing, reading, or watching an advertisement about the prevention of substance use] ^[1] ? Outcome Reported: Percent reporting having been exposed to prevention message.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2015 - 2016	85.3	

[1]This is a summary of four separate NSDUH questions each asking about a specific type of prevention message delivered within a specific context

Footnotes:

Table 31-35 - Reporting Period - Start and End Dates for Information Reported on Tables 31, 32, 33, 34, and 35

Reporting Period Start and End Dates for Information Reported on Tables 33, 34, 35, 36 and 37

Please indicate the reporting period (start date and end date totaling 12 months by the State) for each of the following forms:

	Tables	A. Reporting Period Start Date	B. Reporting Period End Date
1.	Table 31 - Prevention Performance Measures - Individual-Based Programs and Strategies; Measure: Number of Persons Served By Age, Gender, Race, And Ethnicity	1/1/2016	12/31/2016
2.	Table 32 - Prevention Performance Measures - Population-Based Programs And Strategies; Measure: Number of Persons Served By Age, Gender, Race, And Ethnicity	1/1/2016	12/31/2016
3.	Table 33 - Prevention Performance Measures - Number of Persons Served by Type of Intervention	1/1/2016	12/31/2016
4.	Table 34 - Prevention Performance Measures - Number of Evidence-Based Programs by Types of Intervention	1/1/2016	12/31/2016
5.	Table 35 - Prevention Performance Measures - Total Number of Evidence-Based Programs and Total SAPTBG Dollars Spent on Evidence-Based Programs/Strategies	10/1/2015	9/30/2017

Question 1: Describe the data collection system you used to collect the NOMs data (e.g., MDS, DbB, KIT Solutions, manual process).

Missouri used a manual data collection system.		

Question 2: Describe how your State's data collection and reporting processes record a participant's race, specifically for participants who are more than one race.

Indicate whether thes State added those participants to the number for each applicable racial category or whether the State added all those partipants to the More Than One Race subcategory.

Missouri collected and recorded a participant's race through a manual collection process. Participants who were more than one race were reported either under a single race or "race not known or other" until September 2016. Starting in October 2016, Missouri added a subcategory for more than one race.

Footnotes:	

Table 31 - Prevention Performance Measures - Individual-Based Programs and Strategies; Measure: Number of Persons Served By Age, Gender, Race, And Ethnicity

Category	Total		
Age			
0-4	405		
5-11	12461		
12-14	21194		
15-17	23179		
18-20	3653		
21-24	3713		
25-44	20960		
45-64	10573		
65 and over	45260		
Age Not Known	251696		
Gender			
Male	45752		
Female	58110		
Gender Unknown	289232		
Race			
White	81182		
Black or African American	12504		
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	587		
Asian	460		
American Indian/Alaska Native	2		
More Than One Race (not OMB required)	396		
nted: 11/26/2018 9:56 AM - Missouri - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020	Page 93 of		

Race Not Known or Other (not OMB required)	297963
Ethnicity	
Hispanic or Latino	4646
Not Hispanic or Latino	84699
Ethnicity Unknown	303749

Question 1: Describe the data collection system you used to collect the NOMs data (e.g., MDS, DbB, KIT Solutions, manual process).

	_
Missouri used a manual process data collection system.	

Question 2: Describe how your State's data collection and reporting processes record a participant's race, specifically for participants who are more than one race.

Indicate whether thes State added those participants to the number for each applicable racial category or whether the State added all those partipants to the More Than One Race subcategory.

Missouri collects and records a participant's race through a manual collection process. Participants who were more than one race were reported either under a single race or in "Race not known or other."

Footnotes:		

Table 32 - Prevention Performance Measures - Population-Based Programs And Strategies; Measure: Number of Persons Served By Age, Gender, Race, And Ethnicity

Category	Total
Age	
0-4	0
5-11	156585
12-14	232502
15-17	239133
18-20	237963
21-24	340728
25-44	1543906
45-64	1605519
65 and over	374831
Age Not Known	0
Gender	
Male	2349498
Female	2381669
Gender Unknown	0
Race	
White	3984999
Black or African American	595423
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0
Asian	116744
American Indian/Alaska Native	34001
More Than One Race (not OMB required)	
ted: 11/26/2018 9:56 AM - Missouri - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020	Page 95 of

Race Not Known or Other (not OMB required)		
Ethnicity		
Hispanic or Latino	189888	
Not Hispanic or Latino	4541279	
Ethnicity Unknown		
Footnotes:		

Table 33 - Prevention Performance Measures - Number of Persons Served by Type of Intervention

Number of Persons Served by Individual- or Population-Based Program or Strategy

Intervention Type	A. Individual-Based Programs and Strategies	B. Population-Based Programs and Strategies
1. Universal Direct		N/A
2. Universal Indirect	N/A	
3. Selective		N/A
4. Indicated		N/A
5. Total	0	0

Footnotes:

Missouri is opting out of this form.

Table 34 - Prevention Performance Measures - Number of Evidence-Based Programs by Types of Intervention

Definition of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies: The guidance document for the Strategic Prevention Framework State Incentive Grant, Identifying and Selecting Evidence-based Interventions, provides the following definition for evidence-based programs:

- Inclusion in a Federal List or Registry of evidence-based interventions
- Being reported (with positive effects) in a peer-reviewed journal
- Documentation of effectiveness based on the following guidelines:
 - Guideline 1:

The intervention is based on a theory of change that is documented in a clear logic or conceptual model; and

- Guideline 2:
 - The intervention is similar in content and structure to interventions that appear in registries and/or the peer-reviewed literature; and
- Guideline 3:

The intervention is supported by documentation that it has been effectively implemented in the past, and multiple times, in a manner attentive to Identifying and Selecting Evidence-Based Interventions scientific standards of evidence and with results that show a consistent pattern of credible and positive effects; and

- Guideline 4:
 - The intervention is reviewed and deemed appropriate by a panel of informed prevention experts that includes: well-qualified prevention researchers who are experienced in evaluating prevention interventions similar to those under review; local prevention practitioners; and key community leaders as appropriate, e.g., officials from law enforcement and education sectors or elders within indigenous cultures.
- 1. Describe the process the State will use to implement the guidelines included in the above definition.

Missouri utilizes the Strategic Prevention Framework model to implement the four guidelines. The process includes: assessment of the community needs and readiness; capacity building to mobilize and address the needs of the community; development of a prevention plan to identify the activities, programs, and strategies necessary to address the needs; implementation of the prevention plan; and evaluation of the results to achieve sustainability and cultural competency. Missouri identifies appropriate strategies based on validated research, empirical evidence of effectiveness, and the use of local, state, and federal key community prevention leaders such as National Prevention Network and SAMHSA's Center for Substance Abuse Prevention. The Division of Behavioral Health ultimately determines whether or not a chosen intervention falls under the parameters of the guidelines.

2. Describe how the State collected data on the number of programs and strategies. What is the source of the data?

Missouri collects data on the number of programs and strategies through a manual collection process utilizing monthly progress and fidelity reporting forms.

Table 34 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION Number of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies by Type of Intervention

	A. Universal Direct	B. Universal Indirect	C. Universal Total	D. Selective	E. Indicated	F. Total
Number of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies Funded	367	382	749	115	0	864
2. Total number of Programs and Strategies Funded	367	382	749	115	0	864
3. Percent of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %		100.00 %

Footnotes:		

Table 35 - Prevention Performance Measures - Total Number of Evidence-Based Programs and Total SAPTBG Dollars Spent on Evidence-Based Programs/Strategies

	Total Number of Evidence-Based Programs/Strategies for IOM Category Below	Total SAPT Block Grant Dollars Spent on evidence-based Programs/Strategies
Universal Direct	Total #	\$
	727	2029396.00
Universal Indirect	Total #	\$
	755	1066424.00
Selective	Total #	\$
	225	1779262.00
Indicated	Total #	\$
	Total EBPs: 1707	Total Dollars Spent: \$4875082.00

Footnotes:

Prevention Attachments

Submission Uploads

FFY 2017 Prevention Attachment Category A:					
	File	Version	Date Added		
FFY 2017 Prevention Attachment Category B:					
	File	Version	Date Added		
FFY 2017 Prevention Attachment Category C:					
	File	Version	Date Added		
FFY 2017 Prevention Attachment Category D:					
	File	Version	Date Added		